arXiv:1111.2321v1 [math.NT] 9 Nov 2011

SELMER RANKS OF QUADRATIC TWISTS OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

ZEV KLAGSBRUN, BARRY MAZUR, AND KARL RUBIN

ABSTRACT. We study the distribution of 2-Selmer ranks in the family of quadratic twists of an arbitrary elliptic curve E over an arbitrary number field K. We first prove that the fraction of twists (of a given elliptic curve over a fixed number field) having even 2-Selmer rank exists as a stable limit over the family of twists, and we compute this fraction as an explicit product of local factors. We give an example of an elliptic curve E such that as K varies, these fractions are dense in $[0,1]$. Under the assumption that $\mathrm{Gal}(K(E[2])/K) = S_3$ we also show that the density (counted in a non-standard way) of twists with Selmer rank r exists for all positive integers r, and is given via an equilibrium distribution, depending only on the "parity fraction" alluded to above, of a certain Markov Process that is itself independent of E and K. More generally, our results also apply to p-Selmer ranks of twists of 2-dimensional self-dual $\mathbf{F}_p$-representations of the absolute Galois group of K by characters of order p.

CONTENTS

Introduction 1
1. Notation 4
2. Hyperbolic structures and Selmer structures 5
3. Twisted Selmer groups 8
4. Example: twists of elliptic curves 11
5. Local and global characters 14
6. Parity disparity ($p = 2$) 18
7. Parity ($p > 2$) 23
8. Changing Selmer ranks 26
9. Local and global characters, when the image of Galois is big 31
10. Probability distributions 33
11. Rank densities 35
References 41

INTRODUCTION

The type of question that we consider in this paper has it roots in a conjecture of Goldfeld [6, Conjecture B] on the distribution of Mordell-Weil ranks in the family of

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11G05, Secondary: 11G40.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grants DMS-0700580, DMS-0757807, and DMS-0968831. Much of this work was carried out while the second and third authors were in residence at MSRI, and they would also like to thank MSRI for support and hospitality.

quadratic twists of an arbitrary elliptic curve over $\mathbf{Q}$, and a result of Heath-Brown [7, Theorem 2] on the distribution of 2-Selmer ranks in the family of quadratic twists over $\mathbf{Q}$ of the elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 - x$.

We study here the distribution of 2-Selmer ranks in the family of quadratic twists of an arbitrary elliptic curve E over an arbitrary number field K. For example, let $\rho(E/K)$ be the fraction of quadratic twists of E/K that have odd 2-Selmer rank. Precisely, for real numbers $X > 0$ let

$$\mathcal{C}(K,X) := \bigl\{\chi : G_K \to \{\pm 1\} : \chi \text{ is ramified only at primes } \mathfrak{q} \text{ with } \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} \le X\bigr\}$$

and define

$$\rho(E/K) := \lim_{X\to\infty} \frac{|\{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K,X) : \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} \mathrm{Sel}_2(E^\chi/K) \text{ is odd}\}|}{|\mathcal{C}(K,X)|}.$$

It follows from a result of Monsky [14, Theorem 1.5] along with root number calculations that $\rho(E/\mathbf{Q}) = 1/2$ for every elliptic curve $E/\mathbf{Q}$. It had already been noticed (see [4]) that this is not true when $\mathbf{Q}$ is replaced by an arbitrary number field K, because there are examples with $K \ne \mathbf{Q}$ for which $\rho(E/K) = 0$, and others with $\rho(E/K) = 1$. Our first main theorem (see Theorem 6.6) evaluates $\rho(E/K)$.

Theorem A. *Suppose E is an elliptic curve defined over a number field K. Then for all sufficiently large X we have*

$$\rho(E/K) = \frac{|\{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K,X) : \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} \mathrm{Sel}_2(E^\chi/K) \textit{ is odd}\}|}{|\mathcal{C}(K,X)|} = (1 - \delta(E/K))/2$$

where $\delta(E/K) \in [-1,1] \cap \mathbf{Z}[1/2]$ is given by an explicit product of local factors (see Definition 6.4).

We call $\delta(E/K)$ the "disparity" in the distribution of 2-Selmer ranks of twists of E. If K has a real embedding then $\delta(E/K) = 0$ so $\rho(E/K) = 1/2$ (see Corollary 6.10). On the other hand, Example 6.11 exhibits a particular elliptic curve $E/\mathbf{Q}$ such that as K varies, the set $\{\delta(E/K)\}$ is dense in $[-1,1]$, so $\{(1-\delta(E/K))/2\}$ is dense in $[0,1]$.

Theorem A suggests a natural generalization of Goldfeld's conjecture, see Conjecture 6.12.

We next consider a finer study of the distribution of 2-Selmer ranks, as in the work of Heath-Brown [7]. The 2-Selmer rank of E/K is bounded below by $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} E(K)[2]$. For this reason we will consider, for every $r \ge 0$, the fraction $s_r(E/K)$ of quadratic twists of E/K whose 2-Selmer rank is $r + \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} E(K)[2]$. (We will be intentionally vague for now about how we order the quadratic characters of K to define this fraction.) Recent work of Swinnerton-Dyer [23] and Kane [8] extended the result of Heath-Brown mentioned above and showed that if E is an elliptic curve over $\mathbf{Q}$ such that $E[2] \subset E(\mathbf{Q})$ and E does *not* have a $\mathbf{Q}$-rational cyclic 4-isogeny, then

$$s_r(E/K) = \tfrac{1}{2} c_r$$

where c_r is an explicit positive constant given by Definition 10.3 below with $p = 2$. Our main result along these lines is the following (see Corollary 11.13).

Theorem B. *Suppose that E is an elliptic curve over a number field K, and that $\mathrm{Gal}(K(E[2])/K) \cong S_3$. For every $m \ge 0$ and $X > 0$ let $\mathcal{B}_m(X)$ be the collection of* *quadratic characters of K in Corollary 11.13. Then for every $r \geq 0$,*

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\lim_{X\to\infty}\frac{|\{\chi\in\mathcal{B}_m(X) : \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^\chi/K)=r\}|}{|\mathcal{B}_m(X)|} = \begin{cases}\rho(E/K)c_r & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,}\\ (1-\rho(E/K))c_r & \text{if } r \text{ is even.}\end{cases}$$

The constants c_r are the same ones that appear in the results of Heath-Brown and Swinnerton-Dyer. In other words, the only parameter needed to describe the distribution of 2-Selmer ranks in the family of quadratic twists of E (at least, when $\mathrm{Gal}(K(E[2])/K) \cong S_3$) is the disparity $\delta(E/K)$.

For $\chi \in \mathcal{B}_m(X)$, the m is related to the number of primes dividing the conductor of χ, and X is related to a bound on the size of those primes. We have $\mathcal{B}_m(X) \subset \mathcal{B}_{m'}(X')$ if $m \leq m'$ and $X \leq X'$, and $\cup_{m,X}\mathcal{B}_m(X)$ is the set of all quadratic characters of K. The collections of characters $\mathcal{B}_m(X)$ are not the most natural ones to consider (we call them "skew-boxes"), but are forced upon us by the method of proof. We expect the following more natural statement to hold.

Conjecture C. *Suppose that E is an elliptic curve over a number field K, and that $\mathrm{Gal}(K(E[2])/K) \cong S_3$. Then for every $r \geq 0$,*

$$\lim_{X\to\infty}\frac{|\{\chi\in\mathcal{C}(K,X) : \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^\chi/K)=r\}|}{|\mathcal{C}(K,X)|} = \begin{cases}\rho(E/K)c_r & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,}\\ (1-\rho(E/K))c_r & \text{if } r \text{ is even.}\end{cases}$$

Our methods begin with those of [10] and [11]. Namely, we view all of the Selmer groups $\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^\chi/K)$ as subspaces of $H^1(K, E[2])$, defined by local conditions that vary with χ. In this way we can attach a Selmer group to a collection of local quadratic characters. The question of which collections of local characters arise from global characters is an exercise in class field theory (see §5 and §9, especially Proposition 9.6).

To prove Theorem A, we first obtain the surprising result that the parity of $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}\mathrm{Sel}_2(E^\chi/K)$ depends only on the restrictions of χ to the decomposition groups at places dividing $2\Delta_E\infty$, where Δ_E is the discriminant of some model of E (see Proposition 6.2). Using this fact we are able to deduce Theorem A.

For Theorem B, we analyze carefully (see Proposition 8.1) how the Selmer group changes when we change just one of the local characters. This allows us to describe the distribution of Selmer ranks by a Markov process (as Swinnerton-Dyer does in [23]), where each step consists of changing one local character. The values on the right hand side of Theorem B arise as the limiting distribution for this Markov process.

There is another important ingredient in the proofs of Theorems A and B. We make essential use of a recent observation of Poonen and Rains [16] that the local conditions that define the 2-Selmer groups we are studying are maximal isotropic subspaces for a natural quadratic form on the local cohomology groups $H^1(K_v, E[2])$. We use this in a crucial way in the proof of Theorem 2.9, which extends a result from [10] to include the case $p = 2$. Theorem 2.9 is a key ingredient in the proofs of both Theorems A and B.

Our methods apply much more generally than to 2-Selmer groups of elliptic curves, and throughout this paper we work in this fuller generality. Namely, suppose p is any prime, and T is a 2-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_p$-vector space with

- an action of the absolute Galois group G_K,
- a nondegenerate G_K-equivariant alternating $\boldsymbol{\mu}_p$-valued pairing, and
- a "global hyperbolic structure" (see Definition 2.3).

We also assume we are given "twisting data" (Definition 3.4) that allows us to define a family of Selmer groups $\mathrm{Sel}(T, \chi)$ as χ runs through characters of G_K of order p. We have analogues of Theorems A and B describing the distribution of $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(T, \chi)$ in this setting.

For example, if E is an elliptic curve over K, then $T := E[p]$, the kernel of multiplication by p on E, comes equipped with all the structure we require. When $p > 2$ the Selmer groups $\mathrm{Sel}(E[p], \chi)$ are not Selmer groups of elliptic curves, but they are Selmer groups of $(p-1)$-dimensional abelian varieties over K that are twists of E in the sense of [12]. See §4.

The layout of the paper is as follows. Let T be a Galois module as above. In §2 we define hyperbolic structures and Selmer groups in the generality we will need them. The key result is Theorem 2.9, which shows how the parity of the Selmer rank changes when we change some of the defining local conditions. In §3 we define the Selmer groups associated to twists of T, and in §4 we show how these Selmer groups reduce to classical Selmer groups of twists when $T = E[p]$ for an elliptic curve E/K.

Section 5 (and later, §9) uses class field theory to allow us to go back and forth between global characters and collections of local characters. In §6 we study "parity disparity" when $p = 2$, and prove Theorem A, and in §7 we obtain similar results when $p > 2$. In §8 we use Theorem 3.10 to study how the actual Selmer rank (not just its parity) changes when we change just one local condition. We introduce probability distributions and our Markov process in §10, and we prove Theorem B in §11.

1. Notation

Fix a number field K and a rational prime p. Let $\bar{K}$ denote a fixed algebraic closure of K, and $G_K := \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_p$ denote the group of p-th roots of unity in $\bar{K}$.

Throughout this paper T will denote a two-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_p$-vector space with a continuous action of G_K, and with a nondegenerate G_K-equivariant alternating pairing

$$\wedge^2 T \xrightarrow{\sim} \boldsymbol{\mu}_p. \tag{1.1}$$

We will use v (resp., $\mathfrak{q}$) for a place (resp., nonarchimedean place, or prime ideal) of K. If v is a place of K, we let K_v denote the completion of K at v, and K_v^{ur} its maximal unramified extension. We say that T is unramified at v if the inertia subgroup of G_{K_v} acts trivially on T, and in that case we define the unramified subgroup $H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_v, T) \subset H^1(K_v, T)$ by

$$H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_v, T) := H^1(K_v^{\mathrm{ur}}/K_v, T) = \ker[H^1(K_v, T) \to H^1(K_v^{\mathrm{ur}}, T)].$$

If $c \in H^1(K, T)$ and v is a place of K, we will often abbreviate $c_v := \mathrm{loc}_v(c)$ for the localization of c in $H^1(K_v, T)$.

We also fix a finite set Σ of places of K, containing all places where T is ramified, all primes above p, and all archimedean places.

2. Hyperbolic structures and Selmer structures

In this section we define what we mean by a global hyperbolic structure $\mathbf{q}$ on T, and by a Selmer group for T and $\mathbf{q}$. The main result is Theorem 2.9, which shows how the parity of the Selmer rank changes when we change the defining local conditions.

The cup product and the pairing (1.1) induce a pairing

$$H^1(K,T) \times H^1(K,T) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^2(K, T \otimes T) \longrightarrow H^2(K, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p). \tag{2.1}$$

If v is a place of K and K_v is the completion of K at v, then applying the same construction over the field K_v gives local pairings

$$H^1(K_v,T) \times H^1(K_v,T) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^2(K_v, T \otimes T) \longrightarrow H^2(K_v, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p).$$

For every v there is a canonical inclusion $H^2(K_v, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}_p$, that is an isomorphism unless either $K_v = \mathbf{C}$, or $K_v = \mathbf{R}$ and $p > 2$. The local Tate pairing is the composition

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_v : H^1(K_v,T) \times H^1(K_v,T) \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}_p. \tag{2.2}$$

The Tate pairings satisfy the following well-known properties.

Theorem 2.1. (i) *For every v, the pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_v$ is symmetric and nondegenerate.*

(ii) *If $v \notin \Sigma$ then $H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_v,T) \subset H^1(K_v,T)$ is equal to its own orthogonal complement under $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_v$.*

(iii) *If $c, d \in H^1(K,T)$, then $\langle c_v, d_v\rangle_v = 0$ for almost all v and $\sum_v \langle c_v, d_v\rangle_v = 0$.*

Proof. For (i) and (ii), see for example [13, Corollary I.2.3 and Theorem I.2.6]. The first part of (iii) follows from (ii), and the second from the fact that the sum of the local invariants of an element of the global Brauer group is zero. □

Definition 2.2. Suppose v is a place of K. A *quadratic form* on $H^1(K_v,T)$ is a function $q : H^1(K_v,T) \to \mathbf{F}_p$ satisfying

(i) $q(ax) = a^2 q(x)$ for every $a \in \mathbf{F}_p$ and $x \in H^1(K_v,T)$,

(ii) $(x,y) \mapsto q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y)$ is a bilinear form on $H^1(K_v,T)$.

We say that q is a *Tate quadratic form* if the bilinear form of (ii) is $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_v$. If $v \notin \Sigma$, then we say that q is *unramified* if $q(x) = 0$ for all $x \in H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_v,T)$.

Definition 2.3. Suppose T is as above. A *global hyperbolic structure* $\mathbf{q}$ on T consists of a Tate quadratic form q_v on $H^1(K_v,T)$ for every place v, such that

(i) if $v \notin \Sigma$ then q_v is unramified,

(ii) if $c \in H^1(K,T)$ then $\sum_v q_v(c_v) = 0$.

Note that if $c \in H^1(K,T)$ then $c_v \in H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_v,T)$ for almost all v, so the sum in Definition 2.3(ii) is finite.

Lemma 2.4. *If $p > 2$ then there is a unique Tate quadratic form q_v on $H^1(K_v,T)$ for every v, and a unique global hyperbolic structure on T.*

Proof. Since $p \neq 2$, for every v there is a unique Tate quadratic form q_v on $H^1(K_v,T)$, namely

$$q_v(x) := \tfrac{1}{2}\langle x, x\rangle_v.$$

If $v \notin \Sigma$ then q_v is unramified by Theorem 2.1(ii), and if $c \in H^1(K,T)$ then $\sum_v q_v(c_v) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_v \langle c_v, c_v\rangle_v = 0$ by Theorem 2.1(iii). □

Remark 2.5. Suppose $p = 2$ and $\mathbf{q} = \{q_v : v \text{ of } K\}$ is a global hyperbolic structure on T. If $c \in H^1(K,T)$ is such that $c_v \in H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_v,T)$ for every $v \notin \Sigma$, then for every v we can define a new Tate quadratic form q'_v on $H^1(K_v,T)$ by

$$q'_v(x) := q_v(x) + \langle x, c_v \rangle_v.$$

It is straightforward to check (using Theorem 2.1) that $\mathbf{q}' := \{q'_v\}$ is again a global hyperbolic structure on T, and if $c \neq 0$ then $\mathbf{q}' \neq \mathbf{q}$.

Definition 2.6. Suppose v is a place of K and q_v is a quadratic form on $H^1(K_v,T)$. A subspace $V \subset H^1(K_v,T)$ is *Lagrangian* for $(H^1(K_v,T), q_v)$ if V is equal to its own orthogonal complement under $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_v$, and $q_v(x) = 0$ for every $x \in V$.

Let

$$\mathcal{H}(q_v) := \{\text{Lagrangian subspaces of } (H^1(K_v,T), q_v)\},$$

and if $v \notin \Sigma$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_v) := \{V \in \mathcal{H}(q_v) : V \cap H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_v,T) = 0\}.$$

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose $v \notin \Sigma$ and q_v is a Tate quadratic form on $H^1(K_v,T)$. Let $d_v := \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} T^{G_{K_v}}$. Then:*

(i) $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} H^1(K_v,T) = 2d_v$,
(ii) *every $V \in \mathcal{H}(q_v)$ has dimension d_v,*
(iii) *if $d_v > 0$ and q_v is unramified, then $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_v)| = p^{d_v - 1}$.*

Proof. Since $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_v$ is nondegenerate, every Lagrangian subspace of $H^1(K_v,T)$ has dimension $\frac{1}{2}\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} H^1(K_v,T)$. If $v \notin \Sigma$, then $H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_v,T)$ is Lagrangian by Theorem 2.1(ii). We have $H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_v,T) = T/(\mathrm{Frob}_v - 1)T$ (see for example [19, §XIII.1]), so the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T^{G_{K_v}} \longrightarrow T \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Frob}_v - 1} T \longrightarrow T/(\mathrm{Frob}_v - 1)T \longrightarrow 0$$

shows that $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_v,T) = d_v$. This proves (i) and (ii).

Assertion (iii) follows from a calculation of Poonen and Rains [16, Proposition 2.6(b,e)]. □

Definition 2.8. Suppose T is as above and $\mathbf{q}$ is a global hyperbolic structure on T. A *Selmer structure* $\mathcal{S}$ for $(T, \mathbf{q})$ (or simply for T, if $\mathbf{q}$ is understood) consists of

- a finite set $\Sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$ of places of K, containing Σ,
- for every $v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$, a Lagrangian subspace $H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(K_v,T) \subset H^1(K_v,T)$.

If $\mathcal{S}$ is a Selmer structure, we set $H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(K_v,T) := H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_v,T)$ if $v \notin \Sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$, and we define the *Selmer group* $H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(K,T) \subset H^1(K,T)$ by

$$H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(K,T) := \ker(H^1(K,T) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_v H^1(K_v,T)/H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(K_v,T)),$$

i.e., the subgroup of $c \in H^1(K,T)$ such that $c_v \in H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(K_v,T)$ for every v.

Theorem 2.9. *Suppose $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}'$ are two Selmer structures for T. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} & H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(K,T) - \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} H^1_{\mathcal{S}'}(K,T) \\ &\equiv \sum_{v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{S}} \cup \Sigma_{\mathcal{S}'}} \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(K_v,T)/(H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(K_v,T) \cap H^1_{\mathcal{S}'}(K_v,T)) \pmod 2.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. When $p > 2$, this is [10, Theorem 1.4]. In the proof of that theorem, the fact that p is odd is used only at the very end of the proof of [10, Proposition 1.3], to say that a finite-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_p$-vector space with a non-degenerate skew-symmetric pairing must have even dimension. To complete the proof in the case $p = 2$, we need to show that the pairing is question ((1.2) of [10]) is alternating, not just skew-symmetric.

The pairing is defined as follows. (All of the sums below are taken over all places v, but all summands with $v \notin \Sigma_{\mathcal{S}} \cup \Sigma_{\mathcal{S}'}$ are zero.) Following [10], define local vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
H^1_{\mathcal{S}+\mathcal{S}'}(K_v, T) &:= H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(K_v, T) + H^1_{\mathcal{S}'}(K_v, T),\\
H^1_{\mathcal{S}\cap\mathcal{S}'}(K_v, T) &:= H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(K_v, T) \cap H^1_{\mathcal{S}'}(K_v, T),\\
B &:= \bigoplus_v (H^1_{\mathcal{S}+\mathcal{S}'}(K_v, T)/H^1_{\mathcal{S}\cap\mathcal{S}'}(K_v, T)),\\
B_{\mathcal{S}} &:= \bigoplus_v (H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(K_v, T)/H^1_{\mathcal{S}\cap\mathcal{S}'}(K_v, T)),\\
B_{\mathcal{S}'} &:= \bigoplus_v (H^1_{\mathcal{S}'}(K_v, T)/H^1_{\mathcal{S}\cap\mathcal{S}'}(K_v, T)),
\end{aligned}$$

and global subspaces

$$\begin{aligned}
H^1_{\mathcal{S}+\mathcal{S}'}(K, T) &:= \ker(H^1(K, T) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_v H^1(K_v, T)/H^1_{\mathcal{S}+\mathcal{S}'}(K_v, T)),\\
H^1_{\mathcal{S}\cap\mathcal{S}'}(K, T) &:= \ker(H^1(K, T) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_v H^1(K_v, T)/H^1_{\mathcal{S}\cap\mathcal{S}'}(K_v, T)),\\
C &:= H^1_{\mathcal{S}+\mathcal{S}'}(K, T)/H^1_{\mathcal{S}\cap\mathcal{S}'}(K, T).
\end{aligned}$$

The natural homomorphisms $B_{\mathcal{S}}, B_{\mathcal{S}'} \hookrightarrow B$ are injective, and the induced map $B_{\mathcal{S}} \oplus B_{\mathcal{S}'} \to B$ is an isomorphism. The sum of the localization maps induces an injection $\mathrm{loc} : C \hookrightarrow B$, and composing with the projection maps from B to $B_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $B_{\mathcal{S}'}$ gives maps $\mathrm{loc}_{\mathcal{S}} : C \to B_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\mathrm{loc}_{\mathcal{S}'} : C \to B_{\mathcal{S}'}$. The pairing (1.2) of [10] is a pairing on C defined by

$$[x, y] := \sum_v \langle \mathrm{loc}_{\mathcal{S}}(x)_v, \mathrm{loc}_{\mathcal{S}'}(y)_v \rangle_v. \tag{2.3}$$

The proof of [10, Proposition 1.3] shows that the pairing (2.3) is nondegenerate. For every $x \in C$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[x, x] &= \sum_v \langle \mathrm{loc}_{\mathcal{S}}(x)_v, \mathrm{loc}_{\mathcal{S}'}(x)_v \rangle_v\\
&= \sum_v \Big(q_v(\mathrm{loc}_{\mathcal{S}}(x)_v + \mathrm{loc}_{\mathcal{S}'}(x)_v) - q_v(\mathrm{loc}_{\mathcal{S}}(x)_v) - q_v(\mathrm{loc}_{\mathcal{S}'}(x)_v) \Big)\\
&= \sum_v \Big(q_v(x_v) - q_v(\mathrm{loc}_{\mathcal{S}}(x)_v) - q_v(\mathrm{loc}_{\mathcal{S}'}(x)_v) \Big).
\end{aligned}$$

We have $\mathrm{loc}_{\mathcal{S}}(x)_v \in H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(K_v, T)$, and by definition q_v vanishes on $H^1_{\mathcal{S}}(K_v, T)$. Thus $q_v(\mathrm{loc}_{\mathcal{S}}(x)_v) = 0$ for every v, and similarly $q_v(\mathrm{loc}_{\mathcal{S}'}(x)_v) = 0$. Since $\mathbf{q}$ is a global hyperbolic structure, $\sum_v q_v(x_v) = 0$. Thus $[x, x] = 0$, so the pairing (2.3) is alternating. The rest of the proof of the theorem is identical to that of [10, Theorem 1.4]. □

3. Twisted Selmer groups

Given T as above (and some additional "twisting data", see Definition 3.4), in this section we show how to attach to every character $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(G_K, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)$ a Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}(T, \chi)$. More generally, we attach a Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}(T, \gamma)$ to every collection of local characters $\gamma = (\gamma_v)$ with $\gamma_v \in \mathrm{Hom}(G_{K_v}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)$ for v in some finite set containing Σ. Our main result is Theorem 3.10, which uses Theorem 2.9 to show how the parity of the Selmer rank changes when we change some of the γ_v.

Definition 3.1. If L is a field, define

$$\mathcal{C}(L) := \mathrm{Hom}(G_L, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)$$

(throughout this paper , "Hom" will always mean continuous homomorphisms). If L is a local field, we let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ram}}(L) \subset \mathcal{C}(L)$ denote the subset of ramified characters. In this case local class field theory identifies $\mathcal{C}(L) = \mathrm{Hom}(L^\times, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)$, and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ram}}(L)$ is then the subset of characters nontrivial on the local units $\mathcal{O}_L^\times$. Let $\mathbf{1}_L \in \mathcal{C}(L)$ denote the trivial character.

There is a natural action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p) = \mathbf{F}_p^\times$ on $\mathcal{C}(L)$, and we let $\mathcal{F}(L) := \mathcal{C}(L)/\mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p)$. Then $\mathcal{F}(L)$ is naturally identified with the set of cyclic extensions of L of degree dividing p, via the correspondence that sends $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(L)$ to the fixed field $\bar{L}^{\ker(\chi)}$ of $\ker(\chi)$ in $\bar{L}$. If L is a local field, then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{ram}}(L)$ denotes the set of ramified extensions in $\mathcal{F}(L)$.

Definition 3.2. For $1 \le i \le 2$ define

$$\mathcal{P}_i := \{\mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma,\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_p \subset K_\mathfrak{q}, \text{ and } \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} T^{G_{K_\mathfrak{q}}} = i\},$$

and $\mathcal{P}_0 := \{\mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma \cup \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2\}$. Define the *weight* $w(\mathfrak{q}) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ of a prime $\mathfrak{q}$ of K, $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma$, by $w(\mathfrak{q}) := i$ if $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_i$.

Let $K(T)$ denote the field of definition of the elements of T, i.e., the fixed field in $\bar{K}$ of $\ker(G_K \to \mathrm{Aut}(T))$.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose $\mathfrak{q}$ is a prime of K, $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma$, and let $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K)$ be a Frobenius element for some choice of prime above $\mathfrak{q}$. Then*

(i) *$\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_2$ if and only if $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} = 1$,*
(ii) *$\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1$ if and only if $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}$ has order p,*
(iii) *$\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_0$ if and only if $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}^p \ne 1$.*

In particular $\mathcal{P}_2$ has positive density in the set of all primes of K, and $\mathcal{P}_1$ has positive density if and only if $p \mid [K(T) : K]$.

Proof. Fix an $\mathbf{F}_p$-basis of T so that we can view $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$. Then by (1.1)

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_p \subset K_\mathfrak{q} \iff \mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} \text{ acts trivially on } \boldsymbol{\mu}_p \iff \det(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}) = 1.$$

Since $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma$, T is unramified at $\mathfrak{q}$, so $T^{G_{K_\mathfrak{q}}} = T^{\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}=1}$, the subspace of T fixed by $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}$. We have $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} T^{\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}=1} = 2$ if and only if $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} = 1$, and if $\det(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}) = 1$, then $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} T^{\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}=1} = 1$ if and only if $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}$ has order p. This proves the lemma. □

Definition 3.4. Suppose T, Σ are as above, and $\mathbf{q}$ is a global hyperbolic structure on T. By *twisting data* we mean

(i) for every $v \in \Sigma$, a (set) map

$$\alpha_v : \mathcal{C}(K_v)/\mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p) = \mathcal{F}(K_v) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(q_v),$$

(ii) for every $v \in \mathcal{P}_2$, a bijection

$$\alpha_v : \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ram}}(K_v)/\mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{ram}}(K_v) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_v).$$

Note that if $v \in \mathcal{P}_2$ then $|\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{ram}}(K_v)| = p = |\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_v)|$, the first equality by local class field theory (since by definition $v \nmid p$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_p \subset K_v^\times$) and the second by Lemma 2.7. If $v \notin \Sigma \cup \mathcal{P}_2$ then $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_v)$ has at most one element.

If $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ and v is a place of K, we let $\chi_v \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$ denote the restriction of χ to G_{K_v}.

Definition 3.5. Let

$$\mathcal{D} := \{\text{squarefree products of primes } \mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2\},$$

and if $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$ let $\mathfrak{d}_1$ (resp., $\mathfrak{d}_2$) be the product of all primes dividing $\mathfrak{d}$ that lie in $\mathcal{P}_1$ (resp., $\mathcal{P}_2$), so $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}_1\mathfrak{d}_2$. For every $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$, define the *weight* of $\mathfrak{d}$ by

$$w(\mathfrak{d}) := \sum_{\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{d}} w(\mathfrak{q}) = |\{\mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{d}_1\}| + 2 \cdot |\{\mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2\}|.$$

Let $\Sigma(\mathfrak{d}) := \Sigma \cup \{\mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{d}\} \subset \Sigma \cup \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2$ and

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}) := \{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K) : \chi \text{ is ramified at all } \mathfrak{q} \text{ dividing } \mathfrak{d}, \\ \text{and unramified outside of } \Sigma(\mathfrak{d}) \cup \mathcal{P}_0\}.$$

Define a finite set

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}} := \prod_{v \in \Sigma} \mathcal{C}(K_v) \ \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ram}}(K_{\mathfrak{q}}),$$

and let $\eta_{\mathfrak{d}} : \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}) \to \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$ and $\eta_1 : \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}) \to \Gamma_1$ denote the natural maps

$$\eta_{\mathfrak{d}}(\chi) := (\ldots, \chi_v, \ldots).$$

Note that $\mathcal{C}(K_v)$ is a group, and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ram}}(K_v)$ is not a group but it is closed under multiplication by unramified characters. Clearly $\mathcal{C}(K) = \coprod_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d})$.

Definition 3.6. Given T, $\mathbf{q}$, and twisting data as in Definition 3.4, we define a Selmer structure $\mathcal{S}(\gamma)$ for every $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_v)_v \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$ as follows.

- Let $\Sigma_{\mathcal{S}(\gamma)} := \Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$.
- If $v \in \Sigma$ then let $H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\gamma)}(K_v, T) := \alpha_v(\gamma_v)$,
- If $v \mid \mathfrak{d}_1$, let $H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\gamma)}(K_v, T)$ be the unique element of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_v)$.
- If $v \mid \mathfrak{d}_2$, let $H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\gamma)}(K_v, T) := \alpha_v(\gamma_v) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_v)$.

If $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$ we will also write $\mathrm{Sel}(T, \gamma) := H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\gamma)}(K, T)$, and if $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d})$ then we define

$$\mathrm{Sel}(T, \chi) := \mathrm{Sel}(T, \eta_{\mathfrak{d}}(\chi)).$$

Remark 3.7. It is clear from the definition that $\mathrm{Sel}(T, \chi)$ depends only on the extension of K cut out by χ, i.e., $\mathrm{Sel}(T, \chi) = \mathrm{Sel}(T, \chi^i)$ for all $i \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times$. However, when we later count the twists $\mathrm{Sel}(T, \chi)$ with certain properties, it will be convenient to deal with $\mathcal{C}(K)$ rather than $\mathcal{F}(K)$ because $\mathcal{C}(K)$ is a group. In any case the natural map $\mathcal{C}(K) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{C}(K)/\mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p) = \mathcal{F}(K)$ is $(p-1)$-to-one except for the single fiber consisting of the trivial character, so it is simple to go from counting results for $\mathcal{C}(K)$ to results for $\mathcal{F}(K)$. In particular, when $p = 2$ the natural map $\mathcal{C}(K) \to \mathcal{F}(K)$ is a bijection.

Remark 3.8 (Remarks about twisting data)**.** Our definition of twisting data is designed to ensure that for $v \notin \Sigma$, all subspaces $V \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_v)$ occur with equal frequency as we run over characters $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ that are ramified at v. That fact is all we require to prove our results in sections 6, 7 and 11 about the rank statistics of $\mathrm{Sel}(T, \chi)$. In particular, the conclusions of Theorems 6.6, 7.7, and 11.11 below do not depend on the choice of twisting data for $(T, \mathbf{q})$.

The twisting data does not involve primes $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_0$ or $\mathcal{P}_1$. If $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_0$ then either $H^1(K_\mathfrak{q}, T) = 0$ by Lemma 2.7(i), or $\boldsymbol{\mu}_p \not\subset K_\mathfrak{q}^\times$ so no $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ram}}(K_\mathfrak{q})$ is empty; in either case $\mathfrak{q}$ does not occur in any Selmer structure for twists of T. If $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1$ then Lemma 2.7(iii) shows that there are only two possibilities for for a Selmer structure at $\mathfrak{q}$, one unramified and one ramified.

We will see in §4 that when E is an elliptic curve over K, p is a rational prime, and $T = E[p]$, then there is natural global hyperbolic structure on $E[p]$ and natural twisting data such that for every $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K)$, $\mathrm{Sel}(E[p], \chi)$ is a classical Selmer group of a twist of E (an abelian variety twist, when $p > 2$). An analogous statement should hold for more general (self-dual) motives and their Bloch-Kato p-Selmer groups, so our results below should also apply to Bloch-Kato Selmer groups in families of twists.

Definition 3.9. If $v \in \Sigma$ and $\chi, \chi' \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$, define

$$h_v(\chi, \chi') := \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \alpha_v(\chi)/(\alpha_v(\chi) \cap \alpha_v(\chi')).$$

Theorem 3.10. *Suppose $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$, and $\gamma' \in \Gamma_\mathfrak{d}$. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(T, \gamma) - \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(T, \gamma') \equiv \sum_{v \in \Sigma} h_v(\gamma_v, \gamma'_v) + w(\mathfrak{d}) \pmod 2.$$

Proof. We will deduce this from Theorem 2.9. Suppose $\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{d}$. Then by definition $H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\gamma)}(K_\mathfrak{q}, T) = H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_\mathfrak{q}, T)$, and $H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\gamma')}(K_\mathfrak{q}, T) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_\mathfrak{q})$, so

$$H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\gamma)}(K_\mathfrak{q}, T) \cap H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\gamma')}(K_\mathfrak{q}, T) = 0.$$

If $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_i$ then $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_\mathfrak{q}, T) = i$ by Lemma 2.7(ii), so

$$\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p}(H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\gamma)}(K_\mathfrak{q}, T)/(H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\gamma)}(K_\mathfrak{q}, T) \cap H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\gamma')}(K_\mathfrak{q}, T))) = i.$$

Now the theorem follows from Theorem 2.9, applied with $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S}(\gamma')$, since $w(\mathfrak{d}) = |\{\mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{d}_1\}| + 2\,|\{l : \mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2\}|$. □

Corollary 3.11. *Suppose $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d})$. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(T, \chi) \equiv \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(T, \eta_1(\chi)) + w(\mathfrak{d}) \pmod 2.$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.10 with $\gamma = \eta_1(\chi)$ and $\gamma' = \eta_\mathfrak{d}(\chi)$. □

Corollary 3.12. *Suppose $v \in \Sigma$, and $\chi, \chi' \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$. Then*

$$h_v(\chi, \chi') \equiv h_v(\mathbf{1}_v, \chi) + h_v(\mathbf{1}_v, \chi') \pmod 2.$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.10 (with $\mathfrak{d} = 1$) to the pairs (χ, χ'), $(\mathbf{1}_v, \chi)$, and $(\mathbf{1}_v, \chi') \in \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_1$. □

4. Example: twists of elliptic curves

In this section we consider the case where $T = E[p]$, the p-torsion on an elliptic curve over K. We will show that this T comes equipped with the extra structure that we require, and that with an appropriate choice of twisting data, the Selmer groups $\mathrm{Sel}(E[p], \chi)$ are classical Selmer groups.

Fix for this section an elliptic curve E defined over K, a prime p, and let $T := E[p]$. Then T satisfies the hypotheses of §1, with the pairing (1.1) given by the Weil pairing. Let Σ be a finite set of places of K containing all archimedean places, all places above p, and all primes where E has bad reduction. Let $\mathcal{O}$ denote the ring of integers of the cyclotomic field of p-th roots of unity, and $\mathfrak{p}$ the (unique) prime of $\mathcal{O}$ above p.

If $p > 2$, there is a unique global hyperbolic structure $\mathbf{q}_E = (q_{E,v})$ on $E[p]$ (Lemma 2.4). For general p, there is a canonical global hyperbolic structure $\mathbf{q}_E$ on $E[p]$ constructed from the Heisenberg group, see [16, §4]. We recall this construction below when $p = 2$, in the proof of Lemma 4.2(ii).

We next define twisting data for $(E[p], \Sigma, \mathbf{q}_E)$ in the sense of Definition 3.4.

Definition 4.1. Suppose $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ (or $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$) is nontrivial. If $p = 2$ we let E^χ denote the quadratic twist of E by χ. For general p, let F denote the cyclic extension of K (resp., K_v) of degree p corresponding to χ, and let E^χ denote the abelian variety denoted E_F in [12, Definition 5.1]. Concretely, if $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ and $\chi \neq \mathbf{1}_K$ then E^χ is an abelian variety of dimension $p-1$ over K, defined to be the kernel of the canonical map

$$\mathrm{Res}^F_K(E) \longrightarrow E$$

where $\mathrm{Res}^F_K(E)$ denotes the Weil restriction of scalars of E from F to K. The character χ induces an inclusion $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathrm{End}_K(E^\chi)$ (see [12, Theorem 5.5(iv)]). Let $\mathbf{q}_{E^\chi} = (q_{E^\chi,v})$ be the unique global hyperbolic structure on $E^\chi[\mathfrak{p}]$ if $p > 2$, and if $p = 2$ we let $\mathbf{q}_{E^\chi}$ be the canonical global hyperbolic structure on the elliptic curve E^χ.

If $p = 2$, then the two definitions above of E^χ agree, with $\mathcal{O} = \mathbf{Z}$, and $\mathfrak{p} = 2$.

Lemma 4.2. (i) *There is a canonical G_K-isomorphism $E^\chi[\mathfrak{p}] \cong E[p]$.*

(ii) *The isomorphism of (i) identifies $q_{E^\chi,v}$ with $q_{E,v}$ for every v and every $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$.*

Proof. Assertion (i) follows directly from the definition of quadratic twist when $p = 2$ (or see the proof of (ii) below). For general p, see [12, Theorem 2.2(iii)] or [10, Proposition 4.1].

When $p > 2$, assertion (ii) follows from the uniqueness of the Tate quadratic form on T (Lemma 2.4). When $p = 2$, we use an explicit construction of the quadratic form $q_{E,v}$. Let $\bar{K}_v(E)$ denote the function field of E over $\bar{K}_v$. Following [3, Proposition 1.32] we define the Heisenberg (or theta) group

$$\Theta_E := \{(f, P) \in \bar{K}_v(E) \times E[2] : \text{the divisor of } f \text{ is } 2[P] - 2[O]\}$$

with group law

$$(f, P) \cdot (g, Q) := (\tau_Q^*(f)g, P + Q)$$

where τ_Q is translation by Q on E. The projection $\Theta_E \to E[2]$ induces an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \bar{K}_v^\times \longrightarrow \Theta_E \longrightarrow E[2] \longrightarrow 0. \tag{4.1}$$

We view Θ_E as an extension of $E[2]$ by $\mathbf{G}_m$, functorial in the sense that if E' is another elliptic curve over K_v and $\lambda : E \to E'$ is an isomorphism over $\bar{K}_v$, then λ induces an isomorphism $\lambda^* : \Theta_{E'} \to \Theta_E$ over $\bar{K}_v$ (that commutes with (4.1) in the obvious sense). It is easy to see that the map

$$\mathrm{Isom}(E, E') \longrightarrow \mathrm{Isom}(\Theta_{E'}, \Theta_E)$$

defined by $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^*$ is a G_{K_v}-equivariant homomorphism.

With this notation, $q_{E,v} : H^1(K_v, E[2]) \to H^2(K_v, \bar{K}_v^\times) \subset \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ is the connecting map of the long exact sequence of (nonabelian) Galois cohomology attached to (4.1).

Fix an isomorphism $\lambda : E \to E^\chi$ defined over the quadratic field cut out by χ. For every $\sigma \in G_{K_v}$ we have $\lambda^\sigma = \lambda \circ [\chi(\sigma)]$, where $[\chi(\sigma)] : E \to E$ is multiplication by $\chi(\sigma) = \pm 1$. Thus the isomorphism $\lambda^* : \Theta_{E^\chi} \to \Theta_E$ induced by λ satisfies $(\lambda^*)^\sigma = (\lambda^\sigma)^* = [\pm 1]^* \circ \lambda^*$.

Clearly $[-1]$ acts trivially on $E[2]$. Suppose $f \in \bar{K}_v(E)$ has divisor $2[P] - 2[O]$ with $P \in E[2] - O$. If we fix a Weierstrass model of E with coordinate functions X, Y, then f is a constant multiple of $X - X(P)$, so $f \circ [-1] = f$. Hence $[-1]^*$ is the identity on Θ_E, so in fact $(\lambda^*)^\sigma = \lambda^*$ for every $\sigma \in G_{K_v}$. Hence Θ_{E^χ} and Θ_E are isomorphic over K_v as extensions of $E[2]$, so by the definition above we have $q_{E^\chi,v} = q_{E,v}$. □

Definition 4.3. Let π denote any generator of the ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}$. If v is a place of K and $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$, define $\alpha_v(\chi)$ to be the image of the composition of the Kummer "division by π" map with the isomorphism of Lemma 4.2(i)

$$\alpha_v(\chi) := \mathrm{image}\Big(E^\chi(K_v)/\mathfrak{p}E^\chi(K_v) \hookrightarrow H^1(K_v, E^\chi[\mathfrak{p}]) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(K_v, E[p])\Big).$$

Note that $\alpha_v(\chi)$ is independent of the choice of generator π.

Lemma 4.4. *For every place v and $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$, we have $\alpha_v(\chi) \in \mathcal{H}(q_{E,v})$.*

Proof. If $p = 2$, then [16, Proposition 4.10] shows for every v that the image of $E(K_v)/2E(K_v)$ in $H^1(K_v, E^\chi[2])$ is a Lagrangian subspace for q_{v,E^χ}. If $p > 2$, then [10, Proposition A.7] (together with Lemma 2.4) shows that $\alpha_v(\chi)$ is a Lagrangian subspace for the (unique) Tate quadratic form on $H^1(K_v, E^\chi[\mathfrak{p}])$, and hence for q_{v,E^χ}. Now the lemma follows from Lemma 4.2(ii). □

As in Definition 3.9, let $h_v(\chi, \chi') := \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p}(\alpha_v(\chi)/(\alpha_v(\chi) \cap \alpha_v(\chi')))$.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose v is a place of K, and $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$. Let F/K_v be the cyclic extension cut out by χ. Then*

$$h_v(\mathbf{1}_v, \chi) = \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} E(K_v)/N_{F/K_v}E(F).$$

Proof. When $p = 2$, this is due to Kramer [9, Proposition 7]. For general p this is [10, Corollary 5.3]. (That result is only stated for $p > 2$, but the proof for $p = 2$ is the same.) □

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose $p = 2$, $v \in \Sigma$, and $\psi \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$. Let*

$$\alpha_v^\psi : \mathcal{C}(K_v) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(q_{E^\psi,v}) = \mathcal{H}(q_{E,v})$$

and $h_v^\psi(\mathbf{1}_v, \chi) := \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}(\alpha_v^\psi(\mathbf{1}_v)/(\alpha_v^\psi(\mathbf{1}_v) \cap \alpha_v^\psi(\chi)))$ be as defined in Definitions 4.3 and 3.9, respectively, for E^ψ instead of E. Then

$$h_v^\psi(\mathbf{1}_v, \chi) = h_v(\psi, \chi\psi) \equiv h_v(\mathbf{1}_v, \psi) + h_v(\mathbf{1}_v, \chi\psi) \pmod 2.$$

Proof. It follows directly from Definition 4.3 that $\alpha_v^{\psi}(\chi) = \alpha_v(\chi\psi)$ for every $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$. Thus the lemma follows from Corollary 3.12. □

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose $p > 2$, $v \in \mathcal{P}_2$, and $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$ is nontrivial. If F is the cyclic extension of K_v corresponding to χ, then*

$$\alpha_v(\chi) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(F/K_v), E[p]) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(G_{K_v}, E[p]) = H^1(K_v, E[p]).$$

Proof. Let $G := \mathrm{Gal}(F/K_v)$. Fix a generator σ of G, and let $\pi = 1 - \chi(\sigma) \in \mathcal{O}$, so $\pi\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{p}$. Let $\mathcal{I} := (\sigma - 1)\mathbf{Z}[G]$ be the augmentation ideal of $\mathbf{Z}[G]$. By [12, Theorem 2.2], we have an isomorphism of $\mathbf{Z}[\boldsymbol{\mu}_p][G_{K_v}]$-modules

$$E^{\chi}[p] = \mathcal{I} \otimes E[p]$$

where $\gamma \in G_{K_v}$ acts by $\gamma^{-1} \otimes \gamma$ on $\mathcal{I} \otimes E[p]$, and π acts as multiplication by $(1 - \sigma) \otimes 1$ on $\mathcal{I} \otimes E[p]$. Since $v \in \mathcal{P}_2$, G_{K_v} acts trivially on $E[p]$. Hence

$$E^{\chi}(K_v)[p] = E^{\chi}[p]^{G_{K_v}} = E^{\chi}[\mathfrak{p}], \tag{4.2}$$

$$E^{\chi}(F)[p] = E^{\chi}[p]^{G_F} = E^{\chi}[p]. \tag{4.3}$$

Since $p > 2$, we have $\mathfrak{p}^2 \mid p$, so it follows from (4.2) that $E^{\chi}(K_v)[p^{\infty}] = E^{\chi}[\mathfrak{p}]$. Therefore $E^{\chi}(K_v) \cong E^{\chi}[\mathfrak{p}] \times B$ with a profinite abelian group B such that $pB = B$, so it follows from (4.3) that $E^{\chi}(K_v) \subset \pi E^{\chi}(F)$. Identifying $H^1(K_v, E[p]) = \mathrm{Hom}(G_{K_v}, E[p])$, it follows from Definition 4.3 that if $c \in \alpha_v(\chi) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(G_{K_v}, E[p])$, then $c(G_F) = 0$. Thus $\alpha_v(\chi) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(F/K_v), E[p])$. By Lemma 2.7(ii) we have $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \alpha_v(\chi) = 2 = \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(F/K_v), E[p])$, which completes the proof. □

Proposition 4.8. *The maps α_v of Definition 4.3, for $v \in \Sigma$ and $v \in \mathcal{P}_2$, give twisting data as in Definition 3.4.*

Proof. It follows from the definition that $\alpha_v(\chi)$ depends only on the extension of K_v cut out by χ.

By Lemma 4.4, $\alpha_v(\chi) \in \mathcal{H}(q_{E,v})$ for every v and every $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$. Thus α_v satisfies Definition 3.4(i) for $v \in \Sigma$.

Now suppose that $v \in \mathcal{P}_2$. If $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ram}}(K_v)$, then $\alpha_v(\chi) \cap H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_v, T) = 0$ by [11, Lemma 2.11], so $\alpha_v(\chi) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_{E,v})$. To complete the proof of the proposition we need only show that the map $\alpha_v : \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ram}}(K_v)/\mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p) \to \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_{E,v})$ is a bijection. Since

$$|\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ram}}(K_v)/\mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p)| = p = |\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_{E,v})|$$

by local class field theory and Lemma 2.7(iii), we only need to show the injectivity of α_v, and when $p > 2$ this follows from Lemma 4.7

Suppose $p = 2$. Let $\psi, \chi \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$ be a ramified and nontrivial unramified character, respectively. Then $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ram}}(K_v) = \{\psi, \chi\psi\}$, so we need only show that $\alpha_v(\psi) \neq \alpha_v(\chi\psi)$.

Let F be the unramified quadratic extension of K_v. Since $v \in \mathcal{P}_2$, we have that $v \nmid 2$, E has good reduction at v, and G_{K_v} acts trivially on $E[2]$. Since ψ is ramified over F, E^{ψ} has additive reduction over F above v. Tate's algorithm [25] shows that $E^{\psi}(F)[2^{\infty}] = E^{\psi}(F)[2] = E^{\psi}(K_v)[2] = E[2]$, and so $E^{\psi}(K_v)$ and $E^{\psi}(F)$ are each isomorphic to the product of the Klein 4-group $E[2]$ with profinite abelian groups of odd order. Hence $\mathbf{N}_{F/K_v} E^{\psi}(F) = 2E^{\psi}(K_v)$, so by Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6

$$2 = h_v^{\psi}(\mathbf{1}_v, \chi) = h_v(\psi, \chi\psi) = \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2}(\alpha_v(\psi)/(\alpha_v(\psi) \cap \alpha_v(\chi\psi)))$$

and in particular $\alpha_v(\psi) \neq \alpha_v(\chi\psi)$. □

Proposition 4.9. *With the twisting data of Definition 4.3, and any generator* π *of* $\mathfrak{p}$*, for* $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ *we have that* $\mathrm{Sel}(E[p],\chi) \cong \mathrm{Sel}_\pi(E^\chi/K)$*, the usual* π*-Selmer group of* E^χ/K*. In particular when* $p = 2$*,* $\mathrm{Sel}(E[2],\chi) = \mathrm{Sel}_2(E^\chi/K)$ *is the classical* 2*-Selmer group of* E^χ/K*.*

Proof. Choose $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d})$. By definition

$$\mathrm{Sel}_\pi(E^\chi/K) = \{c \in H^1(K, E[p]) : c_v \in \alpha_v(\chi) \text{ for every } v\}$$

with $\alpha_v(\chi)$ as in Definition 4.3. Thus we need to show that $H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\gamma)}(K_v, T) = \alpha_v(\chi)$ for every v.

If $v \in \Sigma$, or if $v \mid \mathfrak{d}$ and $v \in \mathcal{P}_2$, then this is the definition of $H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\gamma)}(K_v, T)$. If $v \mid \mathfrak{d}$ and $v \in \mathcal{P}_0$, then $H^1(K_v, T) = 0$ by Lemma 2.7(i), and if $v \notin \Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$ then $\alpha_v(\chi) = H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_v, T)$ by [1, Lemma 4.1], so in those cases we also have $H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\gamma)}(K_v, T) = \alpha_v(\chi)$.

It remains only to check those v such that $v \mid \mathfrak{d}$ and $v \in \mathcal{P}_1$. In that case $\alpha_v(\chi) \cap H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_v, T) = 0$ by [11, Lemma 2.11], so $\alpha_v(\chi) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_{E,v})$. But in this case $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_{E,v})| = 1$ by Lemma 2.7(iii), and $H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\gamma)}(K_v, T)$ is the unique element of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_{E,v})$ by definition, so $H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\gamma)}(K_v, T) = \alpha_v(\chi)$ in this case also. This completes the proof. □

Remark 4.10. Definition 4.1 of E^χ shows that E^χ depends only on the field cut out by χ, not on the choice of character χ itself. As mentioned in Remark 3.7, it is easier to count characters of order p than cyclic extensions of degree p, because the set of characters is a group.

If F/K is the cyclic extension cut out by $\chi \neq \mathbf{1}_K$, then the short exact sequence $0 \to E^\chi \to \mathrm{Res}^F_K(E) \to E \to 0$ of Definition 4.1 gives an identity of Mordell-Weil ranks

$$\mathrm{rank}(E(F)) = \mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{Res}^F_K(E)(K)) = \mathrm{rank}(E(K)) + \mathrm{rank}(E^\chi(K)).$$

In particular if $\mathrm{Sel}(E[p],\chi) = 0$, then by Proposition 4.9 we have $\mathrm{rank}(E(F)) = \mathrm{rank}(E(K))$. Theorem B of the Introduction (see §11) shows that this happens frequently.

5. LOCAL AND GLOBAL CHARACTERS

For the rest of this paper we fix T and Σ as in §1, a global hyperbolic structure $\mathbf{q}$ on T as in Definition 2.3, and twisting data as in Definition 3.4. Recall that $K(T)$ is the field of definition of the elements of T, i.e., the fixed field in $\bar{K}$ of $\ker(G_K \to \mathrm{Aut}(T))$.

For the rest of this paper we assume also that

$$\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}) = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times/(\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times)^p \longrightarrow \prod_{v\in\Sigma} K_v^\times/(K_v^\times)^p \quad \text{is injective,} \tag{5.2}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}$ is the ring of Σ-integers of K, i.e., the elements that are integral at all $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma$.

Lemma 5.1. *Conditions* (5.1) *and* (5.2) *can always be satisfied by increasing* Σ *if necessary.*

Proof. First, increase Σ if necessary by adding primes $\mathfrak{q}$ whose classes generate the ideal class group of $\mathcal{O}_K$. After this we will have (5.1). Further increases will preserve this condition.

Let f_Σ denote the natural map $\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times/(\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times)^p \to \prod_{v\in\Sigma} K_v^\times/(K_v^\times)^p$, and suppose $u \in \ker(f_\Sigma)$ is nontrivial. The kernel of $K^\times/(K^\times)^p \to K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p)^\times/(K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p)^\times)^p$ is $H^1(K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p)/K, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p) = 0$, so $u \notin (K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p)^\times)^p$ and $[K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p, u^{1/p}) : K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p)] = p$. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a prime of K whose Frobenius automorphism in $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p, u^{1/p})/K)$ has order p. Then $u \notin (K_\mathfrak{q}^\times)^p$, so $f_{\Sigma\cup\{\mathfrak{q}\}}(u) \neq 1$.

Let $\Sigma' := \Sigma \cup \{\mathfrak{q}\}$. Since $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma) = 0$, there is a $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma'}$ such that $\mathrm{ord}_\mathfrak{q}(\lambda) = 1$. Thus $\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma'}^\times = \mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times \times \langle\lambda\rangle$, where $\langle\lambda\rangle$ is the infinite cyclic group generated by λ. The map $\langle\lambda\rangle/\langle\lambda^p\rangle \to K_\mathfrak{q}^\times/(K_\mathfrak{q}^\times)^p$ is injective, so $\ker(f_{\Sigma'}) \subset \ker(f_\Sigma)$ and the inclusion is strict because $\ker(f_\Sigma)$ contains u and $\ker(f_{\Sigma'})$ does not. Replacing Σ by Σ', we can continue in this way until $\ker(f_\Sigma) = 1$, i.e., until (5.2) holds. □

Lemma 5.2. *Define the subgroup* $\mathcal{A} \subset K^\times/(K^\times)^p$ *by*

$$\mathcal{A} := \ker(K^\times/(K^\times)^p \to K(T)^\times/(K(T)^\times)^p).$$

Then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p)), \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)^{\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K)},$$

and $\mathcal{A}$ *is cyclic, generated by an element* $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times$.

Proof. The inflation-restriction sequence of Galois cohomology, together with the fact that $H^1(F, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p) = F^\times/(F^\times)^p$ for every field F, shows that

$$\mathcal{A} = \ker(H^1(K, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p) \to H^1(K(T), \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)) = H^1(K(T)/K, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p).$$

Since $[K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p) : K]$ is prime to p, the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence gives an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} = H^1(K(T)/K, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p) &\xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(K(T)/K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p), \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)^{\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K)} \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p)), \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)^{\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K)}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p))$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$, we see that $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p)), \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)$ has order 1 or p. Thus $\mathcal{A}$ is cyclic.

Let $I_{K,\Sigma}$ (resp., $I_{K(T),\Sigma}$) denote the group of fractional ideals of K (resp., $K(T)$) prime to Σ. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times/(\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times)^p \arrow[r] & K^\times/(K^\times)^p \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & I_{K,\Sigma}/I_{K,\Sigma}^p \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
 & & K(T)^\times/(K(T)^\times)^p \arrow[r] & I_{K(T),\Sigma}/I_{K(T),\Sigma}^p &
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the top row is exact by (5.1). Since $K(T)/K$ is unramified outside of Σ, the right-hand vertical map is injective, so $\mathcal{A}$ (the kernel of the left-hand vertical map) is contained in the image of of $\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose* $p \leq 3$, E *is an elliptic curve over* K, *and* $T = E[p]$. *Then we can take the element* Δ *of Lemma 5.2 to be the discriminant* Δ_E *of (any model of)* E.

Proof. Since Σ contains all primes where E has bad reduction, we have $\Delta_E \in \mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times \cdot (K^\times)^{12}$. By Lemma 5.2, $\mathcal{A} = \{1\}$ if $p \nmid [K(E[p]) : K]$.

Suppose first that $p = 2$. Then $\Delta_E \in (K(E[2])^\times)^2$ and $[K(E[2]) : K(\sqrt{\Delta_E})]$ divides 3. Thus $\Delta_E \in (K^\times)^2$ if and only if $2 \nmid [K(E[2]) : K]$, so Δ_E generates $\mathcal{A}$.

Similarly, when $p = 3$, computing the discriminant of the universal elliptic curve with full level 3 structure (see for example [18, §1.1]) shows that $\Delta_E \in (K(E[3])^\times)^3$ and that $[K(E[3]) : K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_3, \sqrt[3]{\Delta_E})]$ divides 8, so again Δ_E generates $\mathcal{A}$. □

Fix once and for all a $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times$ as in Lemma 5.2. Recall (Definition 3.5) that $\Gamma_1 := \prod_{v \in \Sigma} \mathcal{C}(K_v)$, and more generally $\Gamma_\mathfrak{d} := \prod_{v \in \Sigma} \mathcal{C}(K_v) \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ram}}(K_\mathfrak{q})$ for $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$.

Definition 5.4. Define a "sign" homomorphism $\mathrm{sign}_\Delta : \Gamma_1 \to \boldsymbol{\mu}_p$ by

$$\mathrm{sign}_\Delta(\ldots, \gamma_v, \ldots) := \prod_{v \in \Sigma} \gamma_v(\Delta).$$

Composing with the natural maps $\Gamma_\mathfrak{d} \to \Gamma_1$ and $\mathcal{C}(K) \to \Gamma_1$, we will extend sign_Δ to $\Gamma_\mathfrak{d}$ for every $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$, and to $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

Lemma 5.5. (i) *If $\Delta \notin (\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times)^p$, then sign_Δ is nonconstant.*

(ii) *If $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_2$ and $\chi_\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{C}(K_\mathfrak{q})$, then $\chi_\mathfrak{q}(\Delta) = 1$.*

(iii) *If $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1$ and $\chi_\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{C}(K_\mathfrak{q})$, then $\chi_\mathfrak{q}(\Delta) = 1$ if and only if $\chi_\mathfrak{q}$ is unramified.*

(iv) *If $p = 2$, $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_0$, and $\chi_\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{C}(K_\mathfrak{q})$, then $\chi_\mathfrak{q}(\Delta) = 1$.*

Proof. If $\Delta \notin (\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times)^p$, then it follows from (5.2) that there is a $v \in \Sigma$ such that $\Delta \notin (K_v^\times)^p$. Therefore there is $\chi_v \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$ such that $\chi_v(\Delta) \neq 1$, and (i) follows.

By Lemma 3.3(i), if $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_2$ then $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}$ fixes $K(T)$, so $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}$ fixes $\Delta^{1/p}$, so $\Delta \in (K_\mathfrak{q}^\times)^p$. This proves (ii). Similarly, if $p = 2$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_0$ then Lemma 3.3(iii) shows that $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K)$ has order 3. Thus again $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}$ fixes $\sqrt{\Delta}$, so $\Delta \in (K_\mathfrak{q}^\times)^2$. This proves (iv).

If $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1$ then Lemma 3.3(ii) shows that $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K)$ has order p, and in particular $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p))$. But the only extension of degree p of $K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p)$ in $K(T)$ is $K(\Delta^{1/p})$, so we conclude that $\Delta \notin (K_\mathfrak{q}^\times)^p$. Therefore Δ generates $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times/(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times)^p \cong \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$, where $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}$ is the ring of integers of $K_\mathfrak{q}$. It follows that for $\chi_\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{C}(K_\mathfrak{q})$, we have $\chi_\mathfrak{q}(\Delta) = 1$ if and only if $\chi_\mathfrak{q}(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times) = 1$. This is (iii). □

Lemma 5.6. *Suppose G and H are abelian groups, and $J \subset G \times H$ is a subgroup. Let π_G and π_H denote the projection maps from $G \times H$ to G and H, respectively. Let $J_0 := \ker(J \xrightarrow{\pi_G} G/G^p)$.*

(i) *The image of the natural map $\mathrm{Hom}((G \times H)/J, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p) \to \mathrm{Hom}(H, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)$ is $\mathrm{Hom}(H/\pi_H(J_0), \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)$.*

(ii) *If $J/J^p \to G/G^p$ is injective, then $\mathrm{Hom}((G \times H)/J, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p) \to \mathrm{Hom}(H, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)$ is surjective.*

Proof. We have an exact sequence of $\mathbf{F}_p$-vector spaces

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_H(J_0)H^p/H^p \longrightarrow H/H^p \longrightarrow (G \times H)/J(G \times H)^p.$$

Assertion (i) follows by applying $\mathrm{Hom}(\,\cdot\,, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)$, and (ii) follows directly from (i). □

Lemma 5.7. (i) *The natural map $\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times/(\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times)^p \to \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma} \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times/(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times)^p$ is injective.*

(ii) *The kernel of the natural map* $\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times/(\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times)^p \to \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathcal{P}_2} \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times/(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times)^p$ *is* $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Suppose $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times$, $\alpha \notin (\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times)^p$. Then $\alpha \notin (K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p)^\times)^p$. If $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma$ is any prime whose Frobenius in $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p, \alpha^{1/p})/K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p))$ is nontrivial, then $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times$ but $\alpha \notin (\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times)^p$. Thus α is not in the kernel of the map of (i), and (i) follows.

Now suppose $\alpha \notin \mathcal{A}$. Then $\alpha^{1/p} \notin K(T)$, so we can choose a nontrivial automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K(T,\alpha^{1/p})/K(T))$. Suppose $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma$ is a prime whose Frobenius in $\mathrm{Gal}(K(T,\alpha^{1/p})/K(T))$ is σ. Then $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_2$ by Lemma 3.3(i), and $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times$ but $\alpha \notin (\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times)^p$. This shows that the kernel of the map of (ii) is contained in $\mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{A}$ is contained in the kernel by Lemma 5.5(ii). □

Proposition 5.8. (i) *The natural homomorphism* $\mathcal{C}(K) \to \Gamma_1$ *is surjective.*

(ii) *If* $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma$ *and* $\boldsymbol{\mu}_p \subset K_\mathfrak{q}^\times$, *then there is a* $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ *ramified at* $\mathfrak{q}$ *and unramified outside of* Σ *and* $\mathfrak{q}$.

(iii) *There is a finite subgroup of* $\mathcal{C}(K)$, *containing only characters unramified outside of* Σ *and* $\mathcal{P}_2$, *whose image in* Γ_1 *is* $\ker(\mathrm{sign}_\Delta)$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{A}_K^\times$ denote the ideles of K. Global class field theory and (5.1) show that

$$\mathcal{C}(K) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{A}_K^\times/K^\times, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p) = \mathrm{Hom}((\textstyle\prod_{v\in\Sigma} K_v^\times \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\notin\Sigma} \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times)/\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p). \tag{5.3}$$

For (i), we apply Lemma 5.6(ii) with

$$G := \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\notin\Sigma} \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times, \quad H := \prod_{v\in\Sigma} K_v^\times, \quad J := \mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times.$$

Then $J/J^p \to G/G^p$ is injective by Lemma 5.7(i), so Lemma 5.6(ii) and (5.3) show that

$$\mathcal{C}(K) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\textstyle\prod_{v\in\Sigma} K_v^\times, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p) = \Gamma_1$$

is surjective.

For (ii), we apply Lemma 5.6(ii) with

$$G := \prod_{v\in\Sigma} K_v^\times, \quad H := \prod_{v\notin\Sigma} \mathcal{O}_v^\times, \quad J := \mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times.$$

Assumption (5.2) says that the map $J/J^p \to G/G^p$ is injective, so by Lemma 5.6(ii) and (5.3) we have that

$$\mathcal{C}(K) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\textstyle\prod_{v\notin\Sigma} \mathcal{O}_v^\times, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p) \tag{5.4}$$

is surjective. If $\boldsymbol{\mu}_p \subset K_\mathfrak{q}^\times$ then we can fix an element $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\prod_{v\notin\Sigma} \mathcal{O}_v^\times, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)$ such that $\psi(\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times) \neq 1$ but $\psi(\mathcal{O}_v^\times) = 1$ if $v \neq \mathfrak{q}$, and let $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ be a character that maps to ψ under (5.4). Then χ satisfies (ii).

For (iii), we apply Lemma 5.6(i) with

$$G := \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathcal{P}_2} \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times, \quad H := \prod_{v\in\Sigma} K_v^\times \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathcal{P}_0\cup\mathcal{P}_1} \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times, \quad J := \mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times.$$

Lemma 5.7(ii) shows that $\ker(J/J^p \to G/G^p) = \mathcal{A}$, and then Lemma 5.6(i) and (5.3) show that the image of

$$\mathcal{C}(K) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\prod_{v\in\Sigma} K_v^\times \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathcal{P}_0\cup\mathcal{P}_1} \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)$$

is $\mathrm{Hom}((\prod_{v\in\Sigma} K_v^\times \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathcal{P}_0\cup\mathcal{P}_1} \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times)/\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)$. Restricting to characters unramified at $\mathcal{P}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_1$ proves (iii), since $\ker(\mathrm{sign}_\Delta) = \mathrm{Hom}((\prod_{v\in\Sigma} K_v^\times)/\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)$. □

6. PARITY DISPARITY ($p = 2$)

Fix T and Σ as in §1, a global hyperbolic structure $\mathbf{q}$ on T as in Definition 2.3, and twisting data as in Definition 3.4. In this section we let $p = 2$ and we study how the parity of $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} \mathrm{Sel}(T, \chi)$ varies as χ varies. The main result is Theorem 6.6 (Theorem A of the Introduction). When $T = E[2]$ with an elliptic curve E/K, we make Theorem 6.6 more explicit in Proposition 6.9, Corollary 6.10, and Example 6.11.

Suppose throughout this section that $p = 2$ and that (5.1) and (5.2) are satisfied. Let $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma}^\times$ be as in Lemma 5.2.

If $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K)$, let $r(\chi) := \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} \mathrm{Sel}(T, \chi)$, where $\mathrm{Sel}(T, \chi)$ is given by Definition 3.6, the Selmer group for the twist of T by χ. If $T = E[2]$ with the natural twisting data, then $r(\chi) = \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} \mathrm{Sel}_2(E^\chi/K)$ by Proposition 4.9.

Recall the function $h_v(\chi, \chi') := \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} \alpha_v(\chi)/(\alpha_v(\chi) \cap \alpha_v(\chi'))$ of Definition 3.9.

Definition 6.1. For every $v \in \Sigma$, define a map (of sets) $\omega_v : \mathcal{C}(K_v) \to \{\pm 1\}$ by

$$\omega_v(\chi_v) := (-1)^{h_v(\mathbf{1}_v, \chi_v)} \chi_v(\Delta).$$

Proposition 6.2. *Suppose $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K)$. Then*

$$r(\chi) \equiv r(\mathbf{1}_K) \pmod 2 \iff \prod_{v\in\Sigma} \omega_v(\chi_v) = 1.$$

Proof. We will deduce this from Theorem 3.10. Fix $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d})$. If $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_2$, then $\chi_\mathfrak{q}(\Delta) = 1$ by Lemma 5.5(ii,iv). If $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1$, then $\chi_\mathfrak{q}(\Delta) = -1$ if $\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{d}$, and $\chi_\mathfrak{q}(\Delta) = 1$ if $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}$, by Lemma 5.5(iii). Therefore

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{q}\notin\Sigma} \chi_\mathfrak{q}(\Delta) = (-1)^{|\{\mathfrak{q}:\mathfrak{q}\,\in\,\mathcal{P}_1 \text{ and } \mathfrak{q}\,\mid\,\mathfrak{d}\}|} = (-1)^{w(\mathfrak{d})}$$

so by Theorem 3.10,

$$r(\chi) \equiv r(\mathbf{1}_v) \pmod 2 \iff \prod_{v\in\Sigma} (\omega_v(\chi_v)\chi_v(\Delta)) \prod_{v\notin\Sigma} \chi_v(\Delta) = 1.$$

Global class field theory shows that $\prod_v \chi_v(\Delta) = 1$, and the proposition follows. □

Definition 6.3. Define a (set) function $\mathcal{C}(K) \to \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ measuring the "size" of a character χ by

$$||\chi|| := \max\{\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} : \chi \text{ is ramified at } \mathfrak{q}\}$$

If $X > 0$, let $\mathcal{C}(K, X) \subset \mathcal{C}(K)$ be the subgroup

$$\mathcal{C}(K, X) := \{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K) : ||\chi|| < X\}.$$

Definition 6.4. For every $v \in \Sigma$ define

$$\delta_v := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}(K_v)|} \sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{C}(K_v)} \omega_v(\chi), \quad \text{and} \quad \delta := (-1)^{r(\mathbf{1}_K)} \prod_{v\in\Sigma} \delta_v.$$

Note that $-1+2/|\mathcal{C}(K_v)| \le \delta_v \le 1$ for every v (since $\omega_v(\mathbf{1}_v) = 1$) and $\delta \in [-1, 1]$.

Lemma 6.5. $|\{\gamma \in \Gamma_1 : \prod_{v\in\Sigma} \omega_v(\gamma_v) = 1\}|/|\Gamma_1| = (1 + \prod_{v\in\Sigma} \delta_v)/2.$

Proof. Let $N = |\{\gamma \in \Gamma_1 : \prod_{v\in\Sigma} \omega_v(\gamma_v) = 1\}|$. Since $\Gamma_1 = \prod_{v\in\Sigma} \mathcal{C}(K_v)$, we have

$$N - (|\Gamma_1| - N) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_1} \prod_{v\in\Sigma} \omega_v(\gamma_v) = \prod_{v\in\Sigma} \Bigl(\sum_{\gamma_v \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)} \omega_v(\gamma_v) \Bigr)$$

and dividing both sides by $|\Gamma_1| = \prod_{v\in\Sigma} |\mathcal{C}(K_v)|$ yields

$$2\frac{N}{|\Gamma_1|} - 1 = \prod_{v\in\Sigma} \delta_v.$$

The lemma follows. ☐

Theorem 6.6. *For all sufficiently large X,*

$$\frac{|\{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K,X) : r(\chi) \text{ is even}\}|}{|\mathcal{C}(K,X)|} = \frac{1+\delta}{2}.$$

Proof. Suppose X is large enough so that the natural group homomorphism $\eta : \mathcal{C}(K,X) \to \Gamma_1$ is surjective (this holds for all sufficiently large X by Proposition 5.8(i)). By Proposition 6.2, the parity of $r(\chi)$ depends only on $\eta(\chi)$. Since η is a homomorphism, all of its fibers have the same size, so by Proposition 6.2

$$\frac{|\{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K,X) : r(\chi) \equiv r(\mathbf{1}_K) \pmod 2\}|}{|\mathcal{C}(K,X)|} = \frac{|\{\gamma \in \Gamma_1 : \prod_{v\in\Sigma} \omega_v(\gamma_v) = 1\}|}{|\Gamma_1|}.$$

Now the theorem follows from Lemma 6.5. ☐

Remark 6.7. As the proof shows, the equality of Theorem 6.6 holds with $\mathcal{C}(K,X)$ replaced by any subset $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}(K)$ having the property that there is a subgroup $A \subset \mathcal{C}(K)$ such that the natural map $A \to \Gamma_1$ is surjective and $A\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$. This will apply to the sets $\mathcal{B}_m(X)$ in §11 with $A = \mathcal{C}(1,X)$ for sufficiently large X, when $p > 2$; see Proposition 9.6.

For the rest of this section, we fix an elliptic curve E/K and take $T = E[2]$ with the natural twisting data of Definition 3.4. Proposition 6.9 below computes the δ_v for $E[2]$, in all cases when $v \nmid 2$, and in certain cases when $v \mid 2$. We first need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.8. *Suppose $v \in \Sigma$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$. Let ω_v be as in Definition 6.1, and let ω_v^ψ be the corresponding quantity defined with the elliptic curve E^ψ over K_v in place of E. Then for every $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$, we have*

$$\omega_v^\psi(\chi)\omega_v^\psi(\psi) = \omega_v(\chi\psi).$$

Proof. Let $h_v^\psi(\mathbf{1}_v, \chi)$ be as given by Definition 3.9 for E^ψ in place of E. Then by Lemma 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_v^\psi(\chi)\omega_v^\psi(\psi) &= (-1)^{h_v^\psi(\mathbf{1}_v,\chi)}\chi(\Delta)(-1)^{h_v^\psi(\mathbf{1}_v,\psi)}\psi(\Delta) \\ &= (-1)^{h_v(\mathbf{1}_v,\psi)+h_v(\mathbf{1}_v,\chi\psi)+h_v(\mathbf{1}_v,\psi)}\chi\psi(\Delta) = \omega_v(\chi\psi).\end{aligned}$$

☐

Proposition 6.9. *Suppose E is an elliptic curve over K, and $T = E[2]$ with the natural twisting data. For every $v \in \Sigma$, let $m_v^\pm := |\{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(K_v) : \omega_v(\gamma) = \pm 1\}|$, and* *let* $c_v := |K_v^\times/(K_v^\times)^2|$, *so* $c_v = 4$ *if* $v \nmid 2$. *Then we have the following table, where if* $v \nmid \infty$ *then "type" denotes the Kodaira type of the Néron model.*

type of v	m_v^+	m_v^-	δ_v
real	1	1	0
complex	1	0	1
split multiplicative	1	$c_v - 1$	$2/c_v - 1$
type I_ν *or* I_ν^*, $\nu > 0$, *not split multiplicative*	$c_v - 1$	1	$1 - 2/c_v$
good reduction or type I_0^*, $v \nmid 2$	4	0	1
type II, IV, II*, IV*, $\Delta \in (K_v^\times)^2$, $v \nmid 2$	4	0	1
type II, IV, II*, IV*, $\Delta \notin (K_v^\times)^2$, $v \nmid 2$	2	2	0
type III, III*, $-1 \in (K_v^\times)^2$, $v \nmid 2$	4	0	1
type III, III*, $-1 \notin (K_v^\times)^2$, $v \nmid 2$	2	2	0

Proof. Most of the entries in the table follow directly from calculations of Kramer [9], using Lemma 4.5. For every v, we have $\omega_v(\mathbf{1}_v) = 1$, and by definition $\delta_v = (m_v^+ - m_v^-)/c_v$.

Case 1: v archimedean. If v is complex then there is nothing to check. Suppose v is real, and let $\chi : K_v^\times \to \pm 1$ be the sign character, the nontrivial element of $\mathcal{C}(K_v)$. By Lemma 4.5 and [9, Proposition 6], we have

$$(-1)^{h_v(\mathbf{1}_v,\chi)} = -\chi(\Delta) \tag{6.1}$$

so $\omega_v(\chi) = -1$. Thus $m_v^+ = m_v^- = 1$.

Case 2: v split multiplicative. In this case Lemma 4.5 and [9, Proposition 1] show that if $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$ is nontrivial, then (6.1) holds, so $\omega_v(\chi) = -1$. Thus $m_v^+ = 1$ and $m_v^- = c_v - 1$.

Case 3: v type I_ν *or* I_ν^*, $\nu > 0$, *not split multiplicative.* In this case there is a $\psi \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$, $\psi \neq \mathbf{1}_v$, such that E^ψ is split multiplicative (see for example [20, §1.12]). Case 2 showed that $\omega_v^\psi(\psi) = -1$, and so by Case 2 and Lemma 6.8 we have $m_v^+ = c_v - 1$, $m_v^- = 1$.

Case 4: v good reduction or type I_0^*, $v \nmid 2$. If E has good reduction at v, then Lemma 4.5 and [9, Proposition 3] show that $(-1)^{h_v(\mathbf{1}_v,\chi)} = \chi(\Delta)$ for every $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$, so $\omega_v(\chi) = 1$. If E has reduction type I_0^*, then E has a quadratic twist with good reduction, so by Lemma 6.8 we again have $\omega_v(\chi) = 1$ for every χ. In either case $m_v^+ = c_v = 4$, $m_v^- = 0$.

Case 5: v type II, IV, II*, *or* IV*, $v \nmid 2$. In this case the number of connected components of the Néron model is odd, and E has additive reduction at v, so $E(K_v)$ is 2-divisible. Hence $\alpha_v(\chi)$ is zero for every χ, so $h_v(\mathbf{1}_v, \chi) = 0$, so $\omega_v(\chi) = \chi(\Delta)$. Thus if $\Delta \in (K_v^\times)^2$, then $m_v^+ = c_v = 4$ and $m_v^- = 0$, and if $\Delta \notin (K_v^\times)^2$, then $m_v^+ = m_v^- = 2$.

Case 6: v type III *or* III*, $v \nmid 2$. Suppose $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$, $\chi \neq \mathbf{1}_v$, and let F be the corresponding quadratic extension of K_v. In this case Tate's algorithm [25] shows that

$$E(K_v)[2] \cong \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}, \quad E(K_v) = E(K_v)[2] \times B, \quad E(F) = E(F)[2] \times B'$$

with profinite abelian groups B, B' of odd order, and $\mathrm{ord}_v(\Delta)$ is odd. If $F \neq K_v(\sqrt{\Delta})$, then $E(F)[2] = E(K_v)[2]$, so $\mathbf{N}_{F/K_v}E(F) = 2E(K_v) = B$, so $h_v(\mathbf{1}_v, \chi) =$

1 by Lemma 4.5. If $F = K_v(\sqrt{\Delta})$, then $E(F)[2] = E[2]$ and $\mathbf{N}_{F/K_v} E(F) = E(K_v)$, so $h_v(\mathbf{1}_v, \chi) = 0$ by Lemma 4.5.

Fix $u \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$, $u \notin (\mathcal{O}_v^\times)^2$. We have $\mathcal{C}(K_v) = \{\mathbf{1}_v, \chi_\Delta, \chi_u, \chi_{\Delta u}\}$, where χ_a is the quadratic character corresponding to $K_v(\sqrt{a})$. The discussion above showed that $h_v(\mathbf{1}_v, \mathbf{1}_v) = h_v(\mathbf{1}_v, \chi_\Delta) = 0$ and $h_v(\mathbf{1}_v, \chi_u) = h_v(\mathbf{1}_v, \chi_{\Delta u}) = 1$. We have $\chi_u(\Delta) = -1$, since $K_v(\sqrt{u})/K_v$ is unramified and $\mathrm{ord}_v(\Delta)$ is odd. With $F = K_v(\sqrt{\Delta})$ we have

$$\chi_\Delta(\Delta) = 1 \iff \Delta \in \mathbf{N}_{F/K_v} F^\times \iff -1 \in \mathbf{N}_{F/K_v} F^\times \iff -1 \in (K_v^\times)^2,$$

and with $F = K_v(\sqrt{\Delta u})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\Delta u}(\Delta) = 1 \iff \Delta \in \mathbf{N}_{F/K_v} F^\times &\iff -u \in \mathbf{N}_{F/K_v} F^\times \\ &\iff -u \in (K_v^\times)^2 \iff -1 \notin (K_v^\times)^2,\end{aligned}$$

Combining these facts gives the entries in the last two rows of the table. □

Corollary 6.10. (i) *If K has a real embedding, then for all sufficiently large X we have*

$$|\{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K,X) : r(\chi) \text{ is odd}\}| = |\{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K,X) : r(\chi) \text{ is even}\}| = \frac{|\mathcal{C}(K,X)|}{2}.$$

(ii) *If K has no real embeddings, E/K is semistable, and E has multiplicative reduction at all primes above 2, then for all sufficiently large X*

$$\frac{|\{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K,X) : r(\chi) \text{ is even}\}|}{|\mathcal{C}(K,X)|} = \frac{1+\delta}{2} \notin \{0, \tfrac{1}{2}, 1\}.$$

Proof. If K has a real place v, then Proposition 6.9 shows that $\delta_v = 0$, so (i) follows from Theorem 6.6.

Under the hypotheses of (ii), Proposition 6.9 shows that $0 < |\delta| < 1$, so (ii) follows from Theorem 6.6 as well. □

Example 6.11. *Let E be the elliptic curve labelled* 50B1 *in* [2]*:*

$$y^2 + xy + y = x^3 + x^2 - 3x - 1$$

and let K be a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$, unramified at 5. *Then for all sufficiently large X,*

$$\frac{|\{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K,X) : r(\chi) \text{ is even}\}|}{|\mathcal{C}(K,X)|} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(-1)^{[K:\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-2})]}}{2} \prod_{v|2} (1 - 2^{-[K_v:\mathbf{Q}_2]-1}).$$

As K varies, these values are dense in the interval $[0,1]$.

Proof. The discriminant of E is $-2^5 \cdot 5^2$, which is a square in K, so $\Delta = 1$ in $K^\times/(K^\times)^2$. Over $\mathbf{Q}_2$, E has split multiplicative reduction, so E has split multiplicative reduction at every prime of K above 2. Over $\mathbf{Q}_5$, E has Kodaira type II, and since $K/\mathbf{Q}$ is unramified at 5, E has Kodaira type II at all primes of K above 5. Further, since K is unramified at 5 we have $E(K)[2] = 0$.

Let $w(E/K_v)$ denote the local root number of E over K_v. We have $w(E/K_v) = 1$ if $v \nmid 2 \cdot 5 \cdot \infty$. By [17, Theorem 2] we have $w(E/K_v) = -1$ if $v \mid 2$ or $v \mid \infty$, and $w(E/K_v) = 1$ if $v \mid 5$. Thus the global root number $w(E/K)$ is given by

$$w(E/K) = \prod_v w(E/K_v) = (-1)^{n_\infty + n_2}$$

where n_2 (resp., $n_\infty = [K : \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-2})]$) is the number of places of K above 2 (resp., above ∞). By [5, Theorem 1.3] (the "2-Selmer parity conjecture"), combined with the Cassels pairing (see for example [10, Proposition 2.1]), it follows that $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} \mathrm{Sel}_2(E/K) \equiv n_\infty + n_2 \pmod 2$.

The field K has no real embeddings, and E has good reduction at all primes of K not dividing 10. Hence Proposition 6.9 shows that the δ of Definition 6.4 is given by $\delta = (-1)^{n_\infty + n_2} \prod_{v|2} (2/|K_v^\times/(K_v^\times)^2| - 1)$. For each v dividing 2 we have

$$|K_v^\times/(K_v^\times)^2| = 2^{[K_v:\mathbf{Q}_2]+2}$$

so the desired formula follows from Theorem 6.6.

To prove the final assertion, suppose L is a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}$, unramified at 5, in which 2 splits completely, and let $t := [L : \mathbf{Q}]$. Let $K := L(\sqrt[2m]{-2})$ with $m \ge 1$. Then K is unramified at 5, $[K : \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-2})] = tm$, $n_2 = [L : \mathbf{Q}] = t$, and $[K_v : \mathbf{Q}_2] = 2m$ if $v \mid 2$. In this case the quantity in the formula of the theorem is

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{(-1)^{tm}}{2}(1 - 2^{-2m-1})^t.$$

As m and t vary, the sets

$$\{\log((1 - 2^{-2m-1})^t) : tm \text{ even}\}, \quad \{\log((1 - 2^{-2m-1})^t) : tm \text{ odd}\}$$

are both dense in $\mathbf{R}_{\le 0}$. It follows from the continuity of the exponential function that the set $\{(-1)^{tm}(1-2^{-2m-1})^t\}$ is dense in $[-1, 1]$. This completes the proof. □

Note that the Selmer rank and Mordell-Weil rank of E^χ are related by

$$\mathrm{rank}(E^\chi(K)) \ge r(\chi) - \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} E(K)[2]$$

and if the 2-part of the Shafarevich-Tate group Ш(E/K) is finite, then

$$\mathrm{rank}(E^\chi(K)) \equiv r(\chi) - \dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} E(K)[2] \pmod 2.$$

Thus by Theorem 6.6 we expect that $\mathrm{rank}(E^\chi(K))$ is odd (and therefore at least one) for exactly $(1 - (-1)^{\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} E(K)[2]}\delta)/2$ of the twists E^χ. This leads to the following generalization of Goldfeld's conjecture [6, Conjecture B].

Conjecture 6.12. *The average rank of the quadratic twists of E/K is given by*

$$\lim_{X\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K,X)} \mathrm{rank}(E^\chi(K))}{|\mathcal{C}(K,X)|} = \frac{1 - (-1)^{\dim_{\mathbf{F}_2} E(K)[2]}\delta}{2}.$$

Example 6.13. This example shows that the fraction of even ranks given by Theorem 6.6 does depend on the way we have chosen to count the twists. Let $\mathcal{C}(K, X)$ be as above, and consider also the characters ordered by conductor, i.e., let

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{cond}}(K, X) := \{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K) : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{f}_\chi < X\}$$

where $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$ is (the finite part of) the conductor of χ. Let E be the elliptic curve 38B1 in [2]

$$y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - x^2 - 12x + 15$$

and $K = \mathbf{Q}(i)$. Then $r(\mathbf{1}_K) = 0$, and E has split multiplicative reduction at the primes $(1+i)$ and (19), and good reduction everywhere else. We have $|\mathcal{C}(K_{1+i})| = 2^4$ and $|\mathcal{C}(K_{19})| = 2^2$, and according to Proposition 6.9 we have $\omega_v(\chi_v) = 1$ if and only if $\chi_v = \mathbf{1}_v$, for $v = (1 + i)$ or (19) and $\chi_v \in \mathcal{C}(K_v)$.

If $X > \mathbf{N}(19) = 19^2$, then the images of the characters χ in the group $\mathcal{C}(K,X)$ are uniformly distributed in $\mathcal{C}(K_{1+i}) \times \mathcal{C}(K_{19})$. Hence under the map

$$\mathcal{C}(K,X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(K_{1+i}) \times \mathcal{C}(K_{19}) \xrightarrow{\omega_{1+i}\times\omega_{19}} \{\pm1\}\times\{\pm1\}$$

exactly $\frac{1}{16}\cdot\frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{64}$ of them map to $(1,1)$ and $\frac{15}{16}\cdot\frac{3}{4} = \frac{45}{64}$ of them map to $(-1,-1)$. Hence by Proposition 6.2, $r(\chi)$ is even for exactly $\frac{23}{32} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{7}{32}$ of the $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K,X)$. This is the content of Theorem 6.6 in this case.

Now consider the density using $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{cond}}(K,X)$ instead of $\mathcal{C}(K,X)$. The quadratic characters of K correspond bijectively to squarefree integers $d \in \mathbf{Z}[i]$ modulo ±1, and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{cond}}(K,X)$ corresponds to d with $\mathbf{N}d < X$. These characters no longer map uniformly to $\mathcal{C}(K_{1+i})\times\mathcal{C}(K_{19})$; for example, the fraction of characters unramified at (19) (i.e., the fraction of squarefree d's that are not divisible by 19) is $19^2/(19^2+1)$, not $1/2$. Of those that are unramified, half of the d's are squares modulo 19. Reasoning in this way we see that under the map

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{cond}}(K,X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(K_{1+i}) \times \mathcal{C}(K_{19}) \xrightarrow{\omega_{1+i}\times\omega_{19}} \{\pm1\}\times\{\pm1\}$$

the fraction mapping to $(1,1)$ is

$$\left(\frac{1}{8}\cdot\frac{2}{2+1}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{19^2}{19^2+1}\right) = \frac{1}{12}\cdot\frac{361}{724} = \frac{361}{8688},$$

and the fraction mapping to $(-1,-1)$ is $\frac{11}{12}\cdot\frac{363}{724} = \frac{1331}{2896}$. We conclude by Proposition 6.2 that

$$\lim_{X\to\infty} \frac{|\{\chi \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{cond}}(K,X) : r(\chi) \text{ is even}\}|}{|\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{cond}}(K,X)|} = \frac{361}{8688} + \frac{1331}{2896} = \frac{2177}{4344} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{4344}.$$

7. Parity ($p > 2$)

In this section we take $p > 2$ and we study how the parity of $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(T,\chi)$ varies as χ varies. The main result is Theorem 7.7.

Suppose throughout this section that $p > 2$ and that (5.1), (5.2) are satisfied.

Recall that $\mathcal{C}(K) = \coprod_{\mathfrak{d}\in\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d})$. If $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d})$, let

$$w(\chi) := w(\mathfrak{d}), \qquad r(\chi) := \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(T,\chi),$$

where $\mathrm{Sel}(T,\chi)$ is given by Definition 3.6, the Selmer group for the twist of T by χ. Similarly, if $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$ we let $r(\gamma) := \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(T,\gamma)$.

Let $\eta : \mathcal{C}(K) \to \Gamma_1$ be the natural homomorphism.

For the next few results we introduce the following notation. Order the primes of K not in Σ by norm, $\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q}_1 \le \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q}_2 \le \cdots$. For every n, let $C_n \subset \mathcal{C}(K)$ be the subgroup

$$C_n := \{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K) : \chi \text{ is ramified only at } v \in \Sigma \text{ and at } \mathfrak{q}_i \text{ with } i \le n\}.$$

Lemma 7.1. (i) *If $\boldsymbol{\mu}_p \not\subset K_{\mathfrak{q}_n}^\times$, then $C_n = C_{n-1}$.*

(ii) *If $\boldsymbol{\mu}_p \subset K_{\mathfrak{q}_n}^\times$, then there is a $\psi \in C_n$, ramified at $\mathfrak{q}_n$ and unramified at $\mathfrak{q}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{q}_{n-1}$, such that $C_n = \coprod_{i=0}^{p-1} \psi^i C_{n-1}$. If $\chi \in C_{n-1}$ then*

$$w(\psi^i\chi) \equiv \begin{cases} w(\chi) & \text{if } \mathfrak{q}_n \in \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_2 \text{ or } p \mid i \\ w(\chi)+1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{q}_n \in \mathcal{P}_1 \text{ and } p \nmid i \end{cases} \pmod 2.$$

Proof. If $\boldsymbol{\mu}_p \not\subset K_{\mathfrak{q}_n}^\times$ then no character of order p can ramify at $\mathfrak{q}_n$, so $C_n = C_{n-1}$. If $\boldsymbol{\mu}_p \subset K_{\mathfrak{q}_n}^\times$, then by Lemma 5.8(ii) there is a character $\psi \in C_n$ ramified at $\mathfrak{q}_n$ and unramified at $\mathfrak{q}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_{n-1}$. The restriction of ψ generates $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}_n}^\times, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)$, so ψ generates C_n/C_{n-1}. If $\mathfrak{q}_n \in \mathcal{P}_k$ and $p \nmid i$ then $w(\psi^i\chi) = w(\psi^i) + w(\chi) = k + w(\chi)$. This proves the lemma. □

For every $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ define

$$s_n(\gamma) := \frac{|\{\chi \in C_n : \eta(\chi) = \gamma \text{ and } w(\chi) \text{ is even}\}|}{|\{\chi \in C_n : \eta(\chi) = \gamma\}|} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let $D := \ker(\mathrm{sign}_\Delta) \subset \Gamma_1$, where $\mathrm{sign}_\Delta : \Gamma_1 \to \boldsymbol{\mu}_p$ is given by Definition 5.4.

Lemma 7.2. *If* $\mathfrak{q}_n \in \mathcal{P}_1$ *and* $\psi \in C_n$ *is as in Lemma 7.1(ii), then* $\eta(\psi) \notin D$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.5(iii) we have $\psi_{\mathfrak{q}_n}(\Delta) \neq 1$. If $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma \cup \{\mathfrak{q}_n\}$ then $\psi_{\mathfrak{q}}(\Delta) = 1$, so

$$\psi_{\mathfrak{q}_n}(\Delta)\mathrm{sign}_\Delta(\eta(\psi)) = \prod_{v \in \Sigma \cup \{\mathfrak{q}_n\}} \psi_v(\Delta) = \prod_{\text{all } v} \psi_v(\Delta) = 1.$$

Therefore $\mathrm{sign}_\Delta(\eta(\psi)) \neq 1$, so $\eta(\psi) \notin D$. □

Lemma 7.3. *There is an* $N \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ *such that if* $n \geq N$ *then* $s_n(\gamma)$ *depends only on the image of* γ *in* Γ_1/D.

Proof. By Proposition 5.8(iii), if n is large enough then for every $\gamma \in D$ there is a character $\psi_\gamma \in C_n$ ramified only at primes in $\Sigma \cup \mathcal{P}_2$, such that $\eta(\psi) = \gamma$. If $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_1$, and $\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-1} = \gamma \in D$, then multiplication by ψ_γ gives a bijection

$$\{\chi \in C_n : \eta(\chi) = \gamma_1\} \longrightarrow \{\chi \in C_n : \eta(\chi) = \gamma_2\}.$$

Further, for every $\chi \in C_n$ we have $w(\chi) \equiv w(\psi_\gamma\chi) \pmod 2$. Thus $s_n(\gamma_1) = s_n(\gamma_2)$, which proves the lemma. □

Let $\mathbf{R}^{\Gamma_1}$ denote the $\mathbf{R}$-vector space of (set) maps from Γ_1 to $\mathbf{R}$. Let $g_0 \in \mathbf{R}^{\Gamma_1}$ be the map that sends every γ to 1, and let $s_n \in \mathbf{R}^{\Gamma_1}$ be as above. If $f, g \in \mathbf{R}^{\Gamma_1}$, define

$$[f, g] := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_1} f(\gamma)g(\gamma).$$

Lemma 7.4. *Suppose* $n > N$ *with* N *as in Lemma 7.3. If* $\boldsymbol{\mu}_p \not\subset K_{\mathfrak{q}_n}^\times$ *let* $\psi := \mathbf{1}_K \in C_n$, *and if* $\boldsymbol{\mu}_p \subset K_{\mathfrak{q}_n}^\times$ *let* $\psi \in C_n$ *be as in Lemma 7.1(ii). In either case let* $\varepsilon := (-1)^k$ *where* $\mathfrak{q}_n \in \mathcal{P}_k$, *and* $\bar\psi := \eta(\psi) \in \Gamma_1$. *Then for every* $f \in \mathbf{R}^{\Gamma_1}$, *we have*

$$[f, s_n] = \begin{cases} [f, s_{n-1}] & \text{if } \bar\psi \in D, \\ \frac{1-\varepsilon}{p}[f, s_{n-1}] + \frac{\varepsilon}{|\Gamma_1|}[f, g_0][g_0, s_{n-1}] & \text{if } \bar\psi \notin D. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$, let $f_\gamma \in \mathbf{R}^{\Gamma_1}$ be the function that takes γ to 1 and everything else to 0. Since the pairing [,] is bilinear, it is enough to prove the lemma when $f = f_\gamma$. We have $[f_\gamma, s_n] = s_n(\gamma)$, $[f_\gamma, s_{n-1}] = s_{n-1}(\gamma)$, and $[f_\gamma, g_0] = 1$, so to prove the lemma we want to show that for every γ,

$$s_n(\gamma) = \begin{cases} s_{n-1}(\gamma) & \text{if } \bar\psi \in D, \\ \frac{1-\varepsilon}{p}s_{n-1}(\gamma) + \frac{\varepsilon}{|\Gamma_1|}\sum_{\varphi \in \Gamma_1} s_n(\varphi) & \text{if } \bar\psi \notin D. \end{cases} \tag{7.1}$$

If $\boldsymbol{\mu}_p \not\subset K_{\mathfrak{q}_n}^\times$, then $\bar\psi = \mathbf{1} \in D$, and $C_n = C_{n-1}$ by Lemma 7.1(i), so $s_n(\gamma) = s_{n-1}(\gamma)$ for every γ and (7.1) holds in this case.

Suppose now that $\boldsymbol{\mu}_p \subset K_{\mathfrak{q}_n}^{\times}$, so ψ is ramified at $\mathfrak{q}_n$. Then for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$, $\chi \in C_{n-1}$, and $0 \le i < p$ we have

$$\eta(\psi^i\chi) = \gamma \text{ and } w(\psi^i\chi) \text{ is even} \iff \begin{cases} i = 0,\ \eta(\chi) = \gamma, \text{ and } w(\chi) \text{ is even, or} \\ i \neq 0,\ \eta(\chi) = \bar{\psi}^{-i}\gamma, \text{ and } (-1)^{w(\chi)} = \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Thus, using that $C_n = \coprod_{i=0}^{p-1} \psi^i C_{n-1}$ by Lemma 7.1(ii), we have

$$(1/2 + s_n(\gamma)) = \frac{(1/2 + s_{n-1}(\gamma)) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(1/2 + \varepsilon s_{n-1}(\bar{\psi}^i\gamma))}{p}$$

or equivalently

$$s_n(\gamma) = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)s_{n-1}(\gamma) + \varepsilon\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} s_{n-1}(\bar{\psi}^i\gamma)}{p}. \tag{7.2}$$

If $\bar{\psi} \in D$ then $\varepsilon = 1$ by Lemma 7.2, $s_n(\bar{\psi}^i\gamma)$ and $s_{n-1}(\bar{\psi}^i\gamma)$ are independent of i by Lemma 7.3, and (7.2) becomes $s_n(\gamma) = s_{n-1}(\gamma)$. If $\bar{\psi} \notin D$ then $\bar{\psi}$ generates Γ_1/D, so (using Lemma 7.3)

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} s_{n-1}(\bar{\psi}^i\gamma) = \sum_{\eta\in\Gamma_1/D} s_{n-1}(\eta) = \frac{p}{|\Gamma_1|}\sum_{\eta\in\Gamma_1} s_{n-1}(\eta)$$

and (7.1) follows from (7.2). □

Corollary 7.5. *If* $p \mid [K(T):K]$ *and* $f \in \mathbf{R}^{\Gamma_1}$, *then* $\lim_{n\to\infty}[f, s_n] = 0$.

Proof. We first prove the corollary when $f = g_0$. Choose $n > N$ with N as in Lemma 7.3. Let ψ and $\bar{\psi} = \eta(\psi)$ be as in Lemma 7.4.

If $\bar{\psi} \in D$, then $[g_0, s_n] = [g_0, s_n]$ by Lemma 7.4 with $f = g_0$. If $\bar{\psi} \notin D$, then Lemma 7.4 gives

$$[g_0, s_n] = \frac{1-\varepsilon}{p}[g_0, s_{n-1}] + \frac{\varepsilon}{|\Gamma_1|}[g_0, g_0][g_0, s_{n-1}] = \frac{1+(p-1)\varepsilon}{p}[g_0, s_{n-1}]$$

We have $\varepsilon = (-1)^i$ if $|l_n \in \mathcal{P}_i$, so

$$[g_0, s_n] = \begin{cases} [g_0, s_{n-1}] & \text{if } \mathfrak{q}_n \in \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_2, \\ \frac{2-p}{p}[g_0, s_{n-1}] & \text{if } \mathfrak{q}_n \in \mathcal{P}_1. \end{cases}$$

If $p \mid [K(T):K]$, then $\mathcal{P}_1$ is infinite by Lemma 3.3, and it follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}[g_0, s_n] = 0. \tag{7.3}$$

Now the corollary for arbitrary $f \in \mathbf{R}^{\Gamma_1}$ follows from Lemma 7.4 and (7.3). □

Definition 7.6. Define

$$\rho := \frac{|\{\gamma \in \Gamma_1 : r(\gamma) \text{ is odd}\}|}{|\Gamma_1|}.$$

Note that ρ cannot be 1/2, since $|\Gamma_1|$ is odd.

Theorem 7.7. (i) *If* $p \nmid [K(T):K]$, *then for all sufficiently large* X

$$\frac{|\{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K,X) : r(\chi) \textit{ is odd}\}|}{|\mathcal{C}(K,X)|} = \rho.$$

(ii) *If $p \mid [K(T):K]$, then*

$$\lim_{X\to\infty} \frac{|\{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K,X) : r(\chi) \text{ is odd}\}|}{|\mathcal{C}(K,X)|} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.11, we have $r(\chi) \equiv r(\eta(\chi)) + w(\chi) \pmod 2$ for every χ, so

$$r(\chi) \text{ is odd} \iff w(\chi) \not\equiv r(\eta(\chi)) \pmod 2. \tag{7.4}$$

Suppose first that $p \nmid [K(T):K]$. Then $w(\chi)$ is even for every χ by Lemma 3.3(ii), so $r(\chi)$ is odd if and only if $r(\eta(\chi))$ is odd. By Proposition 5.8(i), η restricts to a surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{C}(K,X) \to \Gamma_1$ for sufficiently large X. Thus for large X

$$|\{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K,X) : r(\chi) \text{ is odd}\}| = |\{\gamma \in \Gamma_1 : r(\gamma) \text{ is odd}\}| \, \frac{\mathcal{C}(K,X)}{|\Gamma_1|}$$

which proves (i).

Now suppose $p \mid [K(T):K]$. We may assume (Proposition 5.8(i)) that n is large enough so that the map $\eta : C_n \to \Gamma_1$ is surjective. Let $f : \Gamma_1 \to \{\pm 1\}$ be the map defined by $f(\gamma) = (-1)^{r(\gamma)}$. Using (7.4) we have

$$\frac{|\{\chi \in C_n : \eta(\chi) = \gamma \text{ and } r(\chi) \text{ is odd}\}|}{|\{\chi \in C_n : \eta(\chi) = \gamma\}|} = 1/2 - f(\gamma)s_n(\gamma).$$

Since all fibers of η have the same size, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|\{\chi \in C_n : r(\chi) \text{ is odd}\}|}{|C_n|} - \frac{1}{2} &= \frac{\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_1}(1/2 - f(\gamma)s_n(\gamma))}{|\Gamma_1|} - \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Gamma_1|}[f, s_n].\end{aligned}$$

Now (ii) follows from Corollary 7.5. □

Remark 7.8. Fix an elliptic curve E/K, and let p vary. Serre's theorem [20] shows that $p \mid [K(T):K]$ for all but finitely many p, so Theorem 7.7(ii) shows that for all but finitely many p, half of the twists have even Selmer rank and half have odd Selmer rank.

8. Changing Selmer ranks

In this section we study how the Selmer rank changes when we change one local condition, i.e., we study $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(T,\gamma) - \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(T,\bar\gamma)$ when $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}$ projects to $\bar\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$. Proposition 8.1 evaluates this difference in terms of the dimension of the localization $\mathrm{loc}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathrm{Sel}(T,\bar\gamma))$, and Proposition 8.8 describes the distribution of the values $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{loc}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathrm{Sel}(T,\bar\gamma))$ as $\mathfrak{q}$ varies.

We continue to assume that (5.1) and (5.2) are satisfied (see the beginning of §5). Recall the set $\mathcal{D}$, and for $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$ the sets $\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$, and $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d})$, all from Definition 3.5. If $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$, define

$$r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma) := \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(T,\gamma).$$

If $\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{D}$, let $\eta_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{q}} : \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}} \to \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$ be the natural projection.

Proposition 8.1. *Suppose $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\bar\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$. If $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2$ and $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}$, let*

$$t(\mathfrak{q}) := \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{image}(\mathrm{Sel}(T,\bar\gamma) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{loc}_{\mathfrak{q}}} H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_{\mathfrak{q}},T)).$$

(i) *Suppose* $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1$*,* $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}$*, and* $\eta_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) = \bar{\gamma}$*. Then* $0 \le t(\mathfrak{q}) \le 1$*, and*

$$r_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\bar{\gamma}) - 1 & \text{if } t(\mathfrak{q}) = 1, \\ r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\bar{\gamma}) + 1 & \text{if } t(\mathfrak{q}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *Suppose* $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_2$*. Then* $|\eta_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}(\bar{\gamma})| = p(p-1)$*,* $0 \le t(\mathfrak{q}) \le 2$*, and*

$$r_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\bar{\gamma}) - 2 & \text{if } t(\mathfrak{q}) = 2, \text{ for every } \gamma \in \eta_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}(\bar{\gamma}) \\ r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\bar{\gamma}) & \text{if } t(\mathfrak{q}) = 1, \text{ for every } \gamma \in \eta_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}(\bar{\gamma}), \\ r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\bar{\gamma}) + 2 & \text{if } t(\mathfrak{q}) = 0, \text{ for exactly } p-1 \text{ of the } \gamma \in \eta_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}(\bar{\gamma}), \\ r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\bar{\gamma}) & \text{if } t(\mathfrak{q}) = 0, \text{ for all other } \gamma \in \eta_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}(\bar{\gamma}). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}(\bar{\gamma})$ be the Selmer structure of Definition 3.6. Define

$$\mathrm{Sel}(T,\bar{\gamma})^{(\mathfrak{q})} := \ker\Big(H^1(K,T) \xrightarrow{\oplus \mathrm{loc}_v} \bigoplus_{v \ne \mathfrak{q}} H^1(K_v,T)/H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\bar{\gamma})}(K_v,T)\Big),$$

$$\mathrm{Sel}(T,\bar{\gamma})_{(\mathfrak{q})} := \ker\big(H^1_{\mathcal{S}(\bar{\gamma})}(K_v,T)^{(\mathfrak{q})} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{loc}_{\mathfrak{q}}} H^1(K_{\mathfrak{q}},T)\big),$$

so

$$\mathrm{Sel}(T,\bar{\gamma})_{(\mathfrak{q})} \subset \mathrm{Sel}(T,\bar{\gamma}), \mathrm{Sel}(T,\gamma) \subset \mathrm{Sel}(T,\bar{\gamma})^{(\mathfrak{q})}.$$

Let $V := \mathrm{loc}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathrm{Sel}(T,\bar{\gamma})^{(\mathfrak{q})}) \subset H^1(K_{\mathfrak{q}},T)$. Poitou-Tate global duality (see for example [13, Theorem I.4.10] or [24, Theorem 3.1]) shows that V is a maximal isotropic subspace of $H^1(K_{\mathfrak{q}},T)$ with respect to the local Tate pairing, and by Definition 2.3(ii), the quadratic form $q_{\mathfrak{q}}$ vanishes on V, so $V \in \mathcal{H}(q_{\mathfrak{q}})$. In particular if $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_i$, then by Lemma 2.7

$$\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} V = \tfrac{1}{2}\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} H^1(K_{\mathfrak{q}},T) = i.$$

Let $V_{\mathrm{ur}} := H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_{\mathfrak{q}},T) \in \mathcal{H}(q_{\mathfrak{q}})$, the unramified subspace. If $i = 1$ let $V_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}$ be the unique element of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_{\mathfrak{q}})$, and if $i = 2$ let $V_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}} := \alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}})$, where $\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}} : \mathcal{C}(K_v) \to \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_{\mathfrak{q}})$ is part of the given twisting data. Then by definition we have exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}(T,\bar{\gamma})_{\mathfrak{q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}(T,\bar{\gamma}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{loc}_{\mathfrak{q}}} V \cap V_{\mathrm{ur}} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}(T,\bar{\gamma})_{\mathfrak{q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}(T,\gamma) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{loc}_{\mathfrak{q}}} V \cap V_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

and $t(\mathfrak{q}) = \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p}(V \cap V_{\mathrm{ur}})$. We deduce that

$$r_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) - r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\bar{\gamma}) = \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p}(V \cap V_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}) - t(\mathfrak{q}). \tag{8.1}$$

Suppose first that $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1$, so $i = 1$. We have $V \in \mathcal{H}(q_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \{V_{\mathrm{ur}}, V_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}\}$, and $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p}(V_{\mathrm{ur}}) = \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p}(V_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}) = 1$. If $V = V_{\mathrm{ur}}$ then $t(\mathfrak{q}) = 1$ and $V \cap V_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}} = 0$, and if $V = V_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}$ then $t(\mathfrak{q}) = 0$ and $V \cap V_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}} = V$. Now (i) follows from (8.1).

Next, suppose that $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_2$. Then $|\eta_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}(\bar{\gamma})| = |\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{ram}}(K_{\mathfrak{q}})| = p(p-1)$. By Theorem 3.10 we have $r_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) \equiv r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\bar{\gamma}) \pmod 2$, and by definition $V_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}} \cap V_{\mathrm{ur}} = 0$.

If $t(\mathfrak{q}) = 2$, then $V = V_{\mathrm{ur}}$, so $V \cap V_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}} = 0$ and $r_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) = r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\bar{\gamma}) - 2$ by (8.1).

If $t(\mathfrak{q}) = 1$, then (8.1) shows that $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p}(V \cap V_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}})$ must be odd. Therefore $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p}(V \cap V_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}) = 1$ and $r_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) = r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\bar{\gamma})$.

If $t(\mathfrak{q}) = 0$, then $V \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_{\mathfrak{q}})$, and (8.1) shows that $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p}(V \cap V_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}})$ must be even, so $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p}(V \cap V_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}) = 0$ or 2. But $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p}(V \cap V_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}) = 2$ if and only if $V_{\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}} = V$, and $\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}} : \mathcal{C}(K_v)/\mathrm{Aut}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p) \to \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ram}}(q_{\mathfrak{q}})$ is a bijection. Now the last part of (ii) follows from (8.1). □

Definition 8.2. Let $s(T) := \max\{r_1(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma_1\}$.

Corollary 8.3. *Suppose* $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$ *and* $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$*. Then* $r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma) \le s(T) + w(\mathfrak{d})$*.*

Proof. Let $\eta_1 : \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}} \to \Gamma_1$ be the natural projection. By Proposition 8.1 and induction we have $r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma) \le r_1(\eta_1(\gamma)) + w(\mathfrak{d})$, and the corollary follows. $\square$

Definition 8.4. A *Cebotarev function* $\mathcal{L}(n, d, Y)$ for (K, T, Σ) is a function $C : (\mathbf{R}_{\ge 0})^3 \to \mathbf{R}_{>0}$, nondecreasing in n, d, and Y, such that for

- every $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$,
- every Galois extension F of K that is abelian of exponent p over $K(T)$, and unramified outside of $\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$,
- every pair of nonempty subsets $S, S' \subset \mathrm{Gal}(F/K)$ stable under conjugation,
- every $Y > 0$, and
- every $X > \mathcal{L}(w(\mathfrak{d}), \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{d}, Y)$,

we have

$$\left| \frac{|\{\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma(\mathfrak{d}) : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} \le X, \mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}(F/K) \in S\}|}{|\{\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma(\mathfrak{d}) : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} \le X, \mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}(F/K) \in S'\}|} - \frac{|S|}{|S'|} \right| \le \frac{1}{Y} \tag{8.2}$$

and in particular the denominator in (8.2) is nonzero.

Remark 8.5. We make no effort here to find an optimal Cebotarev function. The fact that Cebotarev functions exist follows from standard effective versions of the Cebotarev theorem (see for example [21, §2, Theorems 2 and 4]) together with the observations that

- $[F : \mathbf{Q}]$ is bounded by $p^{2w(\mathfrak{d})}$ times a constant depending only on $K(T)$ and Σ,
- the absolute discriminant D_F of F is bounded by $\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{d}^{[K:\mathbf{Q}]}$ times a constant depending only on K and Σ,
- the exceptional (Siegel) zeros of $\zeta_F(s)$ are bounded away from 1 by a constant depending only on $[F/\mathbf{Q}]$ and D_F (see for example [22, Lemmas 8 and 11]).

Definition 8.6. Suppose $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$. If $c \in \mathrm{Sel}(T, \gamma)$ let $\mathrm{Res}_{K(T)}$ denote the composition

$$H^1(K, T) \longrightarrow H^1(K(T), T)^{\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K)} = \mathrm{Hom}(G_{K(T)}, T)^{\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K)}. \tag{8.3}$$

Let $F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}$ be the smallest extension of $K(T)$ such that for every $c \in \mathrm{Sel}(T, \gamma)$, the homomorphism $\mathrm{Res}_{K(T)} c$ factors through $\mathrm{Gal}(F/K(T))$. In other words, $F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}$ is the fixed field of $\cap_{c \in \mathrm{Sel}(T,\gamma)} \ker(\mathrm{Res}_{K(T)} c)$.

Proposition 8.7. *Suppose* T *is a simple* G_K*-module,* $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(T, T) = \mathbf{F}_p$*, and* $H^1(K(T)/K, T) = 0$*. Then for every* $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$ *and* $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$*:*

(i) *There is a* $\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K)$*-module isomorphism* $\mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K(T)) \cong T^{r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma)}$*.*

(ii) *The map* $\mathrm{Res}_{K(T)} : \mathrm{Sel}(T, \gamma) \to \mathrm{Hom}(G_{K(T)}, T)$ *induces isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{Sel}(T, \gamma) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K(T)), T)^{\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K)},$$

$$\mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K(T)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Sel}(T, \gamma), T)$$

(iii) *We have* $[F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma} : K(T)] \le p^{2(w(\mathfrak{d}) + s(T))}$*.*

(iv) $F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K$ *is unramified outside of* $\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$*.*

Proof. Let $G := \mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K)$ and $r := r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma)$. Fix a basis $\{c_1, \ldots, c_r\}$ of $\mathrm{Sel}(T, \gamma)$, and for each i let $\tilde{c}_i = \mathrm{Res}_{K(T)} c_i \in \mathrm{Hom}(G_{K(T)}, T)^G$. Then

$$\tilde{c}_1 \times \cdots \times \tilde{c}_r : \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K(T)) \longrightarrow T^r. \tag{8.4}$$

is a G-equivariant injection. Let W be the $\mathbf{F}_p[G]$-module $\mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K(T))$. Since W is isomorphic to a G-invariant submodule of the semisimple module T^r, W is also semisimple. If U is an irreducible constituent of W, then U is also an irreducible constituent of T^r, so $U \cong T$. Therefore $W \cong T^j$ for some j. Then $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Hom}(W, T)^G = j$ by our assumption that $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(T, T) = \mathbf{F}_p$. On the other hand, since we assume $H^1(K(T)/K, T) = 0$ we have that (8.3) is injective, so $\tilde{c}_1, \ldots, \tilde{c}_r$ are $\mathbf{F}_p$-linearly independent and $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Hom}(W, T)^G \geq r$. Therefore $j = r$, so (8.4) is an isomorphism and (i) holds. The two displayed maps of (ii) are injective by definition, and both sides of the first map (resp., second map) have order p^r (resp., p^{2r}), so both maps are isomorphisms.

It follows from (i) that $[F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma} : K(T)] = p^{2r}$, so (iii) follows directly from Corollary 8.3.

By Definition 3.6, every $c \in \mathrm{Sel}(T, \gamma)$ is unramified outside of $\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$, so each $\mathrm{Res}_{K(T)} c$ is unramified outside of $\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$, so $F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K$ is unramified outside of $\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$. □

Proposition 8.8. *Suppose T is a simple G_K-module, $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(T, T) = \mathbf{F}_p$, and $H^1(K(T)/K, T) = 0$. Fix $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$, and $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$. For every $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$ let*

$$t(\mathfrak{q}) := \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{image}(\mathrm{Sel}(T, \gamma) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{loc}_{\mathfrak{q}}} H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_{\mathfrak{q}}, T))$$

and let $c_{i,j}$ be given by the following table:

	$j = 0$	$j = 1$	$j = 2$
$i = 1$	$p^{-r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma)}$	$1 - p^{-r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma)}$	
$i = 2$	$p^{-2r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma)}$	$(p+1)(p^{-r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma)} - p^{-2r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma)})$	$1 - (p+1)p^{-r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma)} + p^{1-2r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma)}$

Then for $i = 2$ and $j = 0, 1, 2$, we have

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{|\{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_i(X) : \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}, t(\mathfrak{q}) = j\}|}{|\{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_i(X) : \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}\}|} = c_{i,j}.$$

More precisely, if $\mathcal{L}$ is a Cebotarev function in the sense of Definition 8.4, then for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every $X > \mathcal{L}(w(\mathfrak{d}), \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{d}, 1/\epsilon)$ we have

$$\left| \frac{|\{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_i(X) : \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}, t(\mathfrak{q}) = j\}|}{|\{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_i(X) : \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}\}|} - c_{i,j} \right| \leq \epsilon.$$

If $p \mid [K(T) : K]$ then the same is true for $i = 1$, $j = 0, 1$.

Proof. Let $r := r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma)$, let $F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}$ be the field of Definition 8.6, and for every $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$ let $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}} \in \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K)$ denote a Frobenius automorphism for some choice of prime above $\mathfrak{q}$. We need to interpret the different values of $t(\mathfrak{q})$ as Frobenius conditions on $\mathfrak{q}$. By Lemma 3.3, $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1$ if and only if $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}|_{K(T)}$ has order p, and $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_2$ if and only if $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}|_{K(T)} = 1$.

Suppose $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$. Then $H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_{\mathfrak{q}}, T) \cong T/(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}} - 1)T$, with the isomorphism given by evaluating 1-cocycles on $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ (see for example [19, §XIII.1]). Thus $t(\mathfrak{q})$ is the $\mathbf{F}_p$-dimension of the subspace

$$\{c(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) : c \text{ a cocycle representing a class in } \mathrm{Sel}(T, \gamma)\} \subset T/(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}} - 1)T.$$

Let $\phi : \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K(T)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Sel}(T,\gamma),T)$ be the isomorphism of Proposition 8.7(ii).

We first consider the case $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_2$, or equivalently $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K(T))$, so $T/(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} - 1)T = T$. For $0 \le j \le 2$ let

$$R_j := \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Sel}(T,\gamma),T) : \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{image}(f) = j\}$$

and let $S_j := \phi^{-1}(R_j) \subset \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K(T)) \subset \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K)$. Then

$$t(\mathfrak{q}) = j \iff \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p}\{c(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}) : c \in \mathrm{Sel}(T,\gamma)\} = j \iff \mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} \in S_j.$$

If we set $S := S_j$ and $S' := \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K(T))$, then it follows from Definition 8.4 and Proposition 8.7(iii,iv) that for every $X > \mathcal{L}(w(\mathfrak{d}), \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{d}, 1/\epsilon)$ we have

$$\left| \frac{|\{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_2(X), \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d} : t(\mathfrak{q}) = j\}|}{|\{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_2(X) : \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}\}|} - \frac{|R_j|}{[F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma} : K(T)]} \right| \le \epsilon.$$

By Proposition 8.7(i) we have $[F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma} : K(T)] = p^{2r}$. Clearly $|R_0| = 1$. We can decompose R_1 into a disjoint union, over the $p+1$ lines $\ell \subset T$, of the nonzero elements of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Sel}(T,\gamma),\ell)$. Thus $|R_1| = (p+1)(p^r-1)$, and

$$|R_2| = p^{2r} - |R_0| - |R_1| = p^{2r} - (p+1)(p^r-1) - 1 = p^{2r} - (p+1)p^r + p.$$

This proves the proposition when $i = 2$.

Now suppose $p \mid [K(T) : K]$, so that $\mathcal{P}_1$ is nonempty. Suppose $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1$, or equivalently $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}|_{K(T)}$ has order p, so $T/(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} - 1)T$ has dimension 1. Let

$$\begin{aligned} S := \{g \in \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K) : g|_{K(T)} &\text{ has order } p \\ &\text{and } c(g) \in (g-1)T \text{ for every } c \in \mathrm{Sel}(T,\gamma)\} \end{aligned}$$

(note that $c(g)$ is well-defined in $T/(g-1)T$, independent of the choice of cocycle representing c). Then S is closed under conjugation, and $t(\mathfrak{q}) = 0$ if and only if $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} \in S$. If we set $S' := \{g \in \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K) : g|_{K(T)} \text{ has order } p\}$ then it follows from Definition 8.4 and Proposition 8.7(iii,iv) that for every $X > \mathcal{L}(w(\mathfrak{d}), \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{d}, 1/\epsilon)$ we have

$$\left| \frac{|\{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1(X) : t(\mathfrak{q}) = 0\}|}{|\{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1(X) : \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}\}|} - \frac{|S|}{|S'|} \right| \le \epsilon.$$

It remains to compute $|S|/|S'|$. Let $U := \{g \in \mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K) : g \text{ has order } p\}$. Then $|S'| = |U|[F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma} : K(T)] = p^{2r}|U|$.

Suppose $g \in \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K)$ and $g|_{K(T)} \in U$. Evaluation at g induces a homomorphism $\lambda_g : \mathrm{Sel}(T,\gamma) \to T/(g-1)T$, and we have $g \in S$ if and only if λ_g is identically zero. If $h \in \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K(T))$, then in $T/(g-1)T = T/(gh-1)T$ we have

$$\lambda_{gh}(c) = c(gh) = c(g) + gc(h) = \lambda_g(c) + c(h) \quad \text{for every } c \in \mathrm{Sel}(T,\gamma).$$

Thus $gh \in S$ if and only if the image of h under the composition

$$\mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{d},\gamma}/K(T)) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Sel}(T,\gamma),T) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Sel}(T,\gamma), T/(g-1)T).$$

is equal to $-\lambda_g$. Since ϕ is an isomorphism, there are exactly p^r such h. It follows that the restriction map $S \to U$ is surjective, and all fibers have order p^r. Therefore $|S| = p^r|U|$, which proves the proposition when $i = 1, j = 0$. The result for $i = j = 1$ follows since

$$\{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1 : \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}\} = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1 : \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}, t(\mathfrak{q}) = 0\} \coprod \{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1 : \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}, t(\mathfrak{q}) = 1\}.$$

□

9. Local and global characters, when the image of Galois is big

Throughout this section and the rest of the paper, we suppose that the image of the map $G_K \to \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ is large enough so that the following three properties hold:

$$T \text{ is a simple } G_K\text{-module}, \tag{9.1}$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_{K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p)}}(T,T) = \mathbf{F}_p, \tag{9.2}$$

$$H^1(K(T)/K, T) = 0 \tag{9.3}$$

Remark 9.1. For example, (9.1), (9.2), and (9.3) hold if the image of $G_K \to \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ contains $\mathrm{SL}(T)$ or the normalizer of a Cartan subgroup. If $p = 2$ then these conditions hold if and only if $\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K) \cong S_3$.

The main result of this section is Proposition 9.6.

Lemma 9.2. *Let* $G := \mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p))$*.*

- (i) *There is a* $\sigma \in G$ *such that* $\sigma^p \neq 1$*.*
- (ii) *If* $p > 3$ *then* G *has no quotient of order* p*.*
- (iii) *If* $p = 3$ *and* $3 \mid |G|$*, then* $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(T)$*.*

Proof. Fix an $\mathbf{F}_p$-basis of T, so that we can identify $\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p))$ with a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

Case 1: $p \nmid |G|$. Our assumption (9.1) implies that $G \neq 1$. In this case any nontrivial $\sigma \in G$ satisfies (i), (ii) is trivial and (iii) is vacuous.

Case 2: $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$. All three assertions follow directly in this case.

Case 3: $p \mid |G|$ *and* $G \neq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$. In this case, [20, Proposition 15] shows that G is contained in a Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$. It follows from (9.2) that G commutes only with scalar matrices in $M_{2\times 2}(\mathbf{F}_p)$, and so there is a subgroup $H \subset \mathbf{F}_p^\times$, $H \not\subset \{\pm 1\}$, such that with a suitable choice of basis

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : a \in H, b \in \mathbf{F}_p \right\}.$$

Now (i) and (ii) follow directly, and we must have $p > |H| \geq 3$ in this case. □

Lemma 9.3. *Let* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})}^\times / (\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})}^\times)^p$ *be the subgroup defined in Lemma 5.2.*

- (i) *If* $p = 2$*, then* $|\mathcal{A}| = 2$*.*
- (ii) *If* $p = 3$*, then* $|\mathcal{A}| = 1$ *or* 3*, and* $\mathcal{A} = 1$ *if* $3 \nmid [K(T) : K]$*.*
- (iii) *If* $p > 3$*, then* $\mathcal{A} = 1$*.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, we have

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p)), \boldsymbol{\mu}_p)^{\mathrm{Gal}(K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p)/K)}. \tag{9.4}$$

Assumption (9.2) implies that if $p = 2$, then $\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K) \cong S_3$. Now (i) and (ii) follow directly from (9.4).

If $p > 3$, then (iii) follows from (9.4) and Lemma 9.2(ii). □

Lemma 9.4. *Suppose* $\mathcal{L}$ *is a Cebotarev function in the sense of Definition 8.4,* $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$*,* $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})}^\times / (\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})}^\times)^p$*, and* $\alpha \neq 1$*. If* $p > 2$*, or if* $p = 2$ *and* $\alpha \neq \Delta$*, then there is a* $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_0$ *with* $\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} \leq \mathcal{L}(w(\mathfrak{d}), \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{d}, 1)$ *such that* $\alpha \notin (\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times)^p$*.*

Proof. Suppose first that $\alpha \notin \mathcal{A}$. Then by definition $\alpha \notin (K(T)^\times)^p$, so

$$K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p, \alpha^{1/p}) \cap K(T) = K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p).$$

By Lemma 9.2(i), there is a $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p))$ such that $\sigma^p \neq 1$. Choose an element $\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(K(T, \alpha^{1/p})/K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p))$ such that $\tau|_{K(T)} = \sigma$ and $\tau|_{K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_p, \alpha^{1/p})} \neq 1$. By Definition 8.4 of a Cebotarev function, applied with $F = K(T, \alpha^{1/p})$ and S' equal to the conjugacy class of τ, we see that there is a prime $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$ with $\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} \leq \mathcal{L}(w(\mathfrak{d}), \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{d}, 1)$ whose Frobenius in $\mathrm{Gal}(K(T, \alpha^{1/p})/K)$ is in the conjugacy class of τ. For such a prime $\mathfrak{q}$, we have that $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_0$ by Lemma 3.3(iii) and $\alpha \notin (\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times)^p$.

By Lemma 9.3, it remains only to consider the case $p = 3$, $3 \mid [K(T) : K]$, and $1 \neq \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. In this case $\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_3)) = \mathrm{SL}_2(T)$ by Lemma 9.2(iii), so we can choose an element $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K(\boldsymbol{\mu}_3))$ of order 6. Applying Definition 8.4 of a Cebotarev function with $F = K(T)$ and S' equal to the conjugacy class of σ, we see that there is a prime $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma$ with $\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} \leq \mathcal{L}(0, 1, 1) \leq \mathcal{L}(w(\mathfrak{d}), \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{d}, 1)$ whose Frobenius in $\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K)$ is in the conjugacy class of σ. For such a prime $\mathfrak{q}$, we have that $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_0$ by Lemma 3.3(iii), and σ acts nontrivially on $\alpha^{1/3} \in K(T)$, so $\alpha \notin (\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times)^3$. This completes the proof. □

For $X > 0$, define

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, X) := \{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}) : \chi \text{ is unramified outside primes in } \Sigma(\mathfrak{d}) \text{ and primes } \mathfrak{q} \text{ with } \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} \leq X\}.$$

Definition 9.5. Extending Definition 5.4, for $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$ we define $\mathrm{sign}_{\Delta,\mathfrak{d}} : \Gamma_\mathfrak{d} \to \boldsymbol{\mu}_p$ by

$$\mathrm{sign}_{\Delta,\mathfrak{d}}(\ldots, \gamma_v, \ldots) := \prod_{v \in \Sigma} \gamma_v(\Delta).$$

If $p = 2$ and $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$, define

$$\Gamma_\mathfrak{d}^+ := \{\gamma \in \Gamma_\mathfrak{d} : \mathrm{sign}_{\Delta,\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma) = 1\}, \quad \Gamma_\mathfrak{d}^- := \{\gamma \in \Gamma_\mathfrak{d} : \mathrm{sign}_{\Delta,\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma) = -1\}.$$

Note that by Lemmas 5.5(i) and 9.3(i), $\mathrm{sign}_{\Delta,\mathfrak{d}}$ is nonconstant when $p = 2$.

Proposition 9.6. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{L}$ is a Cebotarev function, and $X > \mathcal{L}(w(\mathfrak{d}), \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{d}, 1)$. Let $\eta_\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}) \to \Gamma_\mathfrak{d}$ be the natural map.*

(i) *If $p > 2$ then $\eta_\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, X) \to \Gamma_\mathfrak{d}$ is surjective.*

(ii) *If $p = 2$ then* $\eta_\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, X)) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_\mathfrak{d}^+ & \textit{if } w(\mathfrak{d}) \textit{ is even} \\ \Gamma_\mathfrak{d}^- & \textit{if } w(\mathfrak{d}) \textit{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

(iii) *For every $\gamma \in \eta_\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, X))$ we have*

$$\frac{|\{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, X) : \eta_\mathfrak{d}(\chi) = \gamma\}|}{|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, X)|} = \begin{cases} 1/|\Gamma_\mathfrak{d}| & \textit{if } p > 2, \\ 2/|\Gamma_\mathfrak{d}| & \textit{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Cebotarev function, and suppose $X > \mathcal{L}(w(\mathfrak{d}), \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{d}, 1)$. Global class field theory and (5.1) show that

$$\mathcal{C}(K) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{A}_K^\times/K^\times, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p) = \mathrm{Hom}((\textstyle\prod_{v \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{d})} K_v^\times \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma(\mathfrak{d})} \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{q}^\times)/\mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})}^\times, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p).$$

Let

$$S_1 := \{\mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_0, \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} \leq X\},$$
$$S_2 := \{\mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2, \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}\} \cup \{\mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_0, \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} > X\}.$$

We apply Lemma 5.6 with

$$G := \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\times}, \quad H := \prod_{v\in\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})} K_v^{\times} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_2} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\times}, \quad J := \mathcal{O}_{K,\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})}^{\times}.$$

Note that for $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K)$, we have

$$\chi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, X) \iff \chi_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\times}) = 1 \text{ for } \mathfrak{q} \in S_2 \text{ and } \chi_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\times}) \neq 1 \text{ if } \mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{d}.$$

If $p > 2$, then combining Lemma 9.4 and Lemma 5.6(ii) shows that the map

$$\mathcal{C}(K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\textstyle\prod_{v\in\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})} K_v^{\times} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_2} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\times}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_p) \tag{9.5}$$

is surjective. Thus for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$ we can find a $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K)$, unramified outside of Σ, $\mathfrak{d}$, and S_1, that restricts to γ. Such a χ necessarily belongs to $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, X)$, and this shows that $\eta_{\mathfrak{d}} : \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, X) \to \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$ is surjective, proving (i).

Similarly, if $p = 2$ then $\Delta \neq 1$ by Lemma 9.3(i). Lemma 9.4 shows that $\ker(J/J^2 \to G/G^2)$ is generated by Δ, so by Lemma 5.6(i) the image of (9.5) is exactly $\mathrm{Hom}((\prod_{v\in\Sigma(\mathfrak{d})} K_v^{\times} \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_2} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\times})/\langle\Delta\rangle, \{\pm 1\})$. By Lemma 5.5(ii), $\Delta \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\times})^2$ for $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_2$, and Δ generates $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\times}/(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\times})^2$ for $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1$. It follows that for $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$, we have $\gamma \in \eta_{\mathfrak{d}}(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, X))$ if and only if $\mathrm{sign}_{\Delta,\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma) = (-1)^{w(\mathfrak{d})}$. This proves (ii).

If $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, X)$, then $\eta_{\mathfrak{d}}(\chi_1) = \eta_{\mathfrak{d}}(\chi_2)$ if and only if $\chi_1\chi_2^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}(1, X) \cap \ker(\eta_1)$. Since $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, X)$ is stable under multiplication by $\mathcal{C}(1, X)$, it follows that all nonempty fibers of $\eta_{\mathfrak{d}} : \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, X) \to \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$ have the same order $|\mathcal{C}(1, X) \cap \ker(\eta_1)|$. This proves (iii). □

10. Probability distributions

In this section we define canonical even and odd probability distributions $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}}$, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{odd}}$ on $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and the Markov process M that leads to them. We use standard results about Markov processes to express, for every probability distribution $\mathbf{P}$, the limits $\lim_{k\to\infty} M^{2k}(\mathbf{P})$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} M^{2k+1}(\mathbf{P})$ as linear combinations of $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{odd}}$ (Proposition 10.5).

Definition 10.1. A *probability distribution* (on $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$) is a function $\mathbf{P} : \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \to [0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{n\geq 0} \mathbf{P}(n) = 1$. Let $\mathrm{Maps}(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathbf{R})$ denote the vector space of (set) maps from $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ to $\mathbf{R}$, and $\Xi \subset \mathrm{Maps}(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathbf{R})$ the set of probability distributions. If $\mathbf{P} \in \Xi$, we define the *parity* $\rho(\mathbf{P})$ of $\mathbf{P}$ by

$$\rho(\mathbf{P}) := \sum_{n \text{ odd}} \mathbf{P}(n).$$

Let $\Xi_{\mathrm{even}}, \Xi_{\mathrm{odd}} \subset \Xi$ be the subsets

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{\mathrm{even}} &:= \{\mathbf{P} \in \Xi : \mathbf{P}(n) = 0 \text{ if } n \text{ is odd}\} = \{\mathbf{P} \in \Xi : \rho(\mathbf{P}) = 0\}, \\ \Xi_{\mathrm{odd}} &:= \{\mathbf{P} \in \Xi : \mathbf{P}(n) = 0 \text{ if } n \text{ is even}\} = \{\mathbf{P} \in \Xi : \rho(\mathbf{P}) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define operators $M, \pi_{\mathrm{even}}, \pi_{\mathrm{odd}}$ on $\mathrm{Maps}(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathbf{R})$ by

$$M(\mathbf{P})(n) := (1 - p^{-1-n})\mathbf{P}(n+1) + p^{1-n}\mathbf{P}(n-1)$$

where we interpret $\mathbf{P}(-1) = 0$, and

$$\pi_{\mathrm{even}}(\mathbf{P})(n) := \begin{cases} \mathbf{P}(n) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \qquad \pi_{\mathrm{odd}}(\mathbf{P})(n) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \mathbf{P}(n) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 10.2. *We have:*

(i) $M \circ \pi_{\mathrm{even}} = \pi_{\mathrm{odd}} \circ M$ *and* $M \circ \pi_{\mathrm{odd}} = \pi_{\mathrm{even}} \circ M$,

(ii) *$M(\Xi) \subset \Xi$, $M(\Xi_{\mathrm{even}}) \subset \Xi_{\mathrm{odd}}$, and $M(\Xi_{\mathrm{odd}}) \subset \Xi_{\mathrm{even}}$,*
(iii) *$\rho(M(\mathbf{P})) = 1 - \rho(\mathbf{P})$ for every $\mathbf{P} \in \Xi$,*
(iv) *if $\mathbf{P} \in \Xi$ then $\pi_{\mathrm{even}}(\mathbf{P}) \in (1 - \rho(\mathbf{P}))\Xi_{\mathrm{even}}$, $\pi_{\mathrm{odd}}(\mathbf{P}) \in \rho(\mathbf{P})\Xi_{\mathrm{odd}}$, and $\mathbf{P} = \pi_{\mathrm{even}}(\mathbf{P}) + \pi_{\mathrm{even}}(\mathbf{P})$.*

Proof. Assertion (i) is clear from Definition 10.1 of M.

Suppose $\mathbf{P} \in \Xi$, and let $\mathbf{P}' := M(\mathbf{P})$. With the convention that $\mathbf{P}(-1) := 0$, we have

$$\sum_{n \text{ even}} \mathbf{P}'(n) = \sum_{n \text{ even}} ((1 - p^{-1-n})\mathbf{P}(n+1) + p^{1-n}\mathbf{P}(n-1)) = \sum_{n \text{ odd}} \mathbf{P}(n)$$

and similarly $\sum_{n \text{ odd}} \mathbf{P}'(n) = \sum_{n \text{ even}} \mathbf{P}(n)$. The rest of the lemma follows directly. □

If $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}' \in \Xi$, define

$$||\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}'|| := \sum_{n \geq 0} |\mathbf{P}(n) - \mathbf{P}'(n)|.$$

This norm clearly satisfies a triangle inequality $||\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}'|| \leq ||\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}''|| + ||\mathbf{P}'' - \mathbf{P}'||$ for every $\mathbf{P}'' \in \Xi$, so it makes Ξ into a metric space.

Definition 10.3. For $n \geq 0$ define

$$c_n := \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-j})^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{p}{p^j - 1}.$$

Define $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{odd}} \in \mathrm{Maps}(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathbf{R})$ by

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}}(n) := \begin{cases} c_n & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \qquad \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{odd}}(n) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ c_n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 10.4. (i) *$\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}} \in \Xi_{\mathrm{even}}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{odd}} \in \Xi_{\mathrm{odd}}$.*
(ii) *$M(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}}) = \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{odd}}$ and $M(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{odd}}) = \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}}$.*

Proof. For (i), we only need to show that $\sum_n \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}}(n) = \sum_n \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{odd}}(n) = 1$. See [16, Proposition 2.6], or [7] for the case $p = 2$.

It follows directly from the definitions that $M(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}})(n) = 0$ if n is even. If n is odd, then using that $c_{n+1}/c_n = p/(p^{n+1} - 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}})(n) &= c_n\left((1 - p^{-1-n})\frac{p}{p^{n+1} - 1} + p^{1-n}\frac{p^n - 1}{p}\right) \\ &= c_n(p^{-n} + (1 - p^{-n})) = c_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $M(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}}) = \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{odd}}$, and in exactly the same way $M(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{odd}}) = \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}}$. □

Proposition 10.5. *For every $\mathbf{P} \in \Xi$,*

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} M^{2k}(\mathbf{P}) = (1 - \rho(\mathbf{P}))\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}} + \rho(\mathbf{P})\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{odd}},$$
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} M^{2k+1}(\mathbf{P}) = \rho(\mathbf{P})\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}} + (1 - \rho(\mathbf{P}))\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{odd}}.$$

In particular if $\rho(\mathbf{P}) = \frac{1}{2}$, then $\lim_{k \to \infty} M^k(\mathbf{P}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{odd}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}}$.

Proof. By Lemma 10.2(ii), we can view M^2 as a Markov process on $\mathbf{Z}_{\ge 0}^{\mathrm{even}}$, and by Lemma 10.4, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}} \in \Xi_{\mathrm{even}}$ is an equilibrium state for this Markov process (i.e., $M^2(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}}) = \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}}$). This Markov process is irreducible and aperiodic on $\mathbf{Z}_{\ge 0}^{\mathrm{even}}$ in the sense of [15, Chapter 1]. By [15, Theorem 1.8.3], it follows that the equilibrium distribution is unique, and that for every $\mathbf{P} \in \Xi_{\mathrm{even}}$ we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} M^{2k}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{even}}.$$

In exactly the same way, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{odd}} \in \Xi_{\mathrm{odd}}$ is the unique equilibrium state in Ξ_{odd} and for every $\mathbf{P} \in \Xi_{\mathrm{odd}}$ we have $\lim_{k\to\infty} M^{2k}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{odd}}$. Now the proposition follows from Lemma 10.2(iii,iv). □

11. Rank densities

In this section we use Propositions 8.1, 8.8, and 10.5 to prove Theorem B of the Introduction (Corollary 11.13 below). We will deduce this from a finer result (Theorem 11.11).

As in §9, we will assume throughout this section that (9.1), (9.2), and (9.3) hold. Recall that if $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$ then $r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma) := \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(T,\gamma)$, and if $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ then $r(\chi) := \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(T,\chi)$.

Definition 11.1. Suppose $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$. If $p = 2$, let $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}^{+}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}^{-}$ be as in Definition 9.5. To simplify the notation, define $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}^{+} := \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}^{-} := \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$ if $p > 2$. For $n \ge 0$ define

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\pm}(n) := \{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\pm} : r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma) = n\},$$

so $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\pm} = \coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\pm}(n)$, and define probability distributions $\mathbf{P}_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\pm}$ by

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\pm}(n) := \frac{|\Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\pm}(n)|}{|\Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\pm}|} \quad \text{for } n \ge 0.$$

Proposition 11.2. *Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is a Cebotarev function in the sense of Definition 8.4. If $X > \mathcal{L}(w(\mathfrak{d}), \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{d}, 1)$ then*

$$\frac{|\{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d},X) : r(\chi) = n\}|}{|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d},X)|} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{P}_{\mathfrak{d}}^{+}(n) & \text{if } w(\mathfrak{d}) \text{ is even} \\ \mathbf{P}_{\mathfrak{d}}^{-}(n) & \text{if } w(\mathfrak{d}) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\nu := (-1)^{w(\mathfrak{d})}$. Fix $X > \mathcal{L}(w(\mathfrak{d}), \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{d}, 1)$. By Proposition 9.6, the natural map

$$\eta_{\mathfrak{d}} : \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d},X) \to \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\nu}$$

is surjective, and all fibers have the same order. By definition, if $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d})$ then $\mathrm{Sel}(T,\chi) = \mathrm{Sel}(T,\eta_{\mathfrak{d}}(\chi))$. Therefore

$$\frac{|\{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d},X) : r(\chi) = n\}|}{|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d},X)|} = \frac{|\{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\nu} : r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\gamma) = n\}|}{|\Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\nu}|} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\nu}(n).$$

□

Recall that $s(T) := \max\{r_1(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma_1\}$.

Theorem 11.3. *Suppose $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{L}$ is a Cebotarev function, and $\epsilon > 0$. Then for every $X > \mathcal{L}(w(\mathfrak{d}), \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{d}, (s(T)+w(\mathfrak{d})+3)/\epsilon)$, and $i = 2$, we have*

$$\left\| \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathcal{P}_i(X), \mathfrak{q}\nmid\mathfrak{d}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}^{\pm}}{|\{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_i(X) : \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}\}|} - M^i(\mathbf{P}_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\pm}) \right\| \le \epsilon.$$

If $p \mid [K(T):K]$, then the same holds with $i = 1$.

Proof. Let $Q_i := \{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_i(X) : \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}\}$. If $\mathfrak{q} \in Q_i$ let $\pi_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{q}} : \Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}} \to \Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}$ be the natural projection. If $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$ and $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma(\mathfrak{d})$, let

$$t_\gamma(\mathfrak{q}) := \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \mathrm{image}(\mathrm{Sel}(T,\gamma) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{loc}_{\mathfrak{q}}} H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K_{\mathfrak{q}}, T))$$

Fix $n \geq 0$. We have

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{q}\in Q_i} \mathbf{P}^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}(n) = \sum_{\mathfrak{q}\in Q_i} \frac{|\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}(n)|}{|\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}|} = \sum_{\mathfrak{q}\in Q_i} \sum_{\bar\gamma \in \Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}} \frac{|\{\gamma \in \pi^{-1}_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{q}}(\bar\gamma) : r_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) = n\}|}{|\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}|}.$$

We first suppose $p \mid [K(T):K]$, so $\mathcal{P}_1$ is nonempty, and consider $i = 1$. If $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_1$, then $\pi_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}$ is an isomorphism and $|\Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}| = |\Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}|$. By Proposition 8.1(i), if $\pi_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) = \bar\gamma$ then $r_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma) = n$ if and only if either

- $r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\bar\gamma) = n-1$ and $t_{\bar\gamma}(\mathfrak{q}) = 0$, or
- $r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\bar\gamma) = n+1$ and $t_{\bar\gamma}(\mathfrak{q}) = 1$.

Thus

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{q}\in Q_1} \mathbf{P}^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}(n) = \sum_{\bar\gamma\in\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n-1)} \frac{|\{\mathfrak{q}\in Q_1 : t_{\bar\gamma}(\mathfrak{q}) = 0\}|}{|\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}|} + \sum_{\bar\gamma\in\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n+1)} \frac{|\{\mathfrak{q}\in Q_1 : t_{\bar\gamma}(\mathfrak{q}) = 1\}|}{|\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}|}$$

By Proposition 8.8 with $i = 1$, if $r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\bar\gamma) = n-1$ we have

$$\left|\frac{|\{\mathfrak{q}\in Q_1 : t_{\bar\gamma}(\mathfrak{q}) = 0\}|}{|Q_1|} - p^{1-n}\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{s(T)+w(\mathfrak{d})+3}$$

and if $r_{\mathfrak{d}}(\bar\gamma) = n+1$ we have

$$\left|\frac{|\{\mathfrak{q}\in Q_1 : t_{\bar\gamma}(\mathfrak{q}) = 1\}|}{|Q_1|} - (1-p^{-1-n})\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{s(T)+w(\mathfrak{d})+3}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\Bigg|\sum_{\bar\gamma\in\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n-1)} &\frac{|\{\mathfrak{q}\in Q_1 : t_{\bar\gamma}(\mathfrak{q}) = 0\}|}{|\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}||Q_1|} - p^{1-n}\frac{|\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n-1)|}{|\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}|}\Bigg| \\ &+ \Bigg|\sum_{\bar\gamma\in\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n+1)} \frac{|\{\mathfrak{q}\in Q_1 : t_{\bar\gamma}(\mathfrak{q}) = 1\}|}{|\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}||Q_1|} - (1-p^{-1-n})\frac{|\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n+1)|}{|\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}|}\Bigg| \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{s(T)+w(\mathfrak{d})+3},\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\left|\frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{q}\in Q_1}\mathbf{P}^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}(n)}{|Q_1|} - p^{1-n}\mathbf{P}^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n-1) - (1-p^{-1-n})\mathbf{P}^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n+1)\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{s(T)+w(\mathfrak{d})+3}.$$

We have

$$M(\mathbf{P}^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}})(n) = p^{1-n}\mathbf{P}^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n-1) + (1-p^{-1-n})\mathbf{P}^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n+1)$$

by definition of M, and $\mathbf{P}^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}(n) = \mathbf{P}^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n-1) = \mathbf{P}^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n+1) = 0$ for every $\mathfrak{q}$ if $n > s(T)+w(\mathfrak{d})+2$ by Corollary 8.3. Now the theorem follows, in the case $i = 1$.

The case $i = 2$ is similar. In this case $\pi_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{q}}$ is (p^2-p)-to-one, and using Proposition 8.1(ii) we see in the same way as when $i = 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\mathfrak{q}\in Q_2} \mathbf{P}^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}(n) \\
&\quad = \sum_{\bar\gamma\in\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n-2)} \frac{|\{\mathfrak{q}\in Q_2 : t_{\bar\gamma}(\mathfrak{q}) = 0\}|}{p|\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}|} + \sum_{\bar\gamma\in\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n)} \frac{(p-1)|\{\mathfrak{q}\in Q_2 : t_{\bar\gamma}(\mathfrak{q}) = 0\}|}{p|\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}|} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{\bar\gamma\in\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n)} \frac{|\{\mathfrak{q}\in Q_2 : t_{\bar\gamma}(\mathfrak{q}) = 1\}|}{|\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}|} + \sum_{\bar\gamma\in\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n+2)} \frac{|\{\mathfrak{q}\in Q_2 : t_{\bar\gamma}(\mathfrak{q}) = 2\}|}{|\Gamma^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now using Proposition 8.8 with $i = 2$ to approximate the four sums on the right, and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
M^2(\mathbf{P}^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}})(n) = p^{3-2n}\mathbf{P}^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n-2) + (p^{-n} + p^{1-n} - p^{1-2n} - p^{-1-2n})\mathbf{P}^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n) \\
+ (1-p^{-1-n})(1-p^{-2-n})\mathbf{P}^{\pm}_{\mathfrak{d}}(n+2)
\end{aligned}$$

the theorem follows in the case $i = 2$. □

Definition 11.4. Fix a Cebotarev function $\mathcal{L}$. Define sequences of real-valued functions $\{a_n(X)\}_{n\ge1}$, $\{b_n(X)\}_{n\ge0}$, depending on $\mathcal{L}$, by

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1(X) &:= \mathcal{L}(0,1,X), \\
a_{n+1}(X) &:= \max\{\mathcal{L}(2n, \textstyle\prod_{j\le n} a_j(X), X), Xa_n(X)^2\}, \quad n \ge 1 \\
b_n(X) &:= \max\{\mathcal{L}(2n, \textstyle\prod_{j\le n} a_j(X), 1), X\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $m, k \ge 0$ and $X > 0$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{m,k}(X) &:= \{\mathfrak{d}\in\mathcal{D} : w(\mathfrak{d}) = k \text{ and } \mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{q}_1\cdots\mathfrak{q}_m \text{ with } \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q}_j \le a_j(X) \text{ for all } j\}, \\
\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_{m,k}(X) &:= \{\mathfrak{d}\in\mathcal{D}_{m,k}(X) : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} \le a_{m-1}(X) \text{ for every } \mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{d}\},
\end{aligned}$$

and for $i = 1, 2$

$$\mathcal{D}^{(i)}_{m,k}(X) := \{\mathfrak{d}\in\mathcal{D}_{m,k}(X) : \mathfrak{d} \text{ is divisible by a } \mathfrak{q}\in\mathcal{P}_i \text{ with } \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} > a_{m-1}(X)\},$$

and $\mathcal{D}_{m,k} := \cup_{X>0}\mathcal{D}_{m,k}(X)$.

Lemma 11.5. (i) *The set $\mathcal{D}_{m,k}$ is nonempty if and only if either $k = 2m$, or $p \mid [K(T) : K]$ and $m \le k \le 2m$.*

(ii) *For every $\epsilon > 0$ we have $X^{1-\epsilon} \ll |\mathcal{P}_2(X)| \ll X$,*

$$\prod_{j\le m} a_j(X)^{1-\epsilon} \ll |\mathcal{D}^{(2)}_{m,k}(X)|, |\mathcal{D}_{m,k}(X)| \ll \prod_{j\le m} a_j(X),$$

and $|\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_{m,k}(X)| \ll a_{m-1}(X)\prod_{j\le m-1} a_j(X)$, with implied constants depending only on ϵ, K, T, and Σ. If $p \mid [K(T) : K]$ then the same inequalities hold with $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ in place of $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$, and $\mathcal{D}^{(1)}_{m,k}(X)$ in place of $\mathcal{D}^{(2)}_{m,k}(X)$.

(iii) *For $i = 1, 2$ and $X > 0$, there is a natural bijection*

$$\mathcal{D}^{(i)}_{m,k}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{m-1,k-i}(X) \times \{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathcal{P}_i(a_m(X)) : \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} > a_{m-1}(X)\}$$

Proof. Suppose $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_m \in \mathcal{D}$. If $p \nmid [K(T) : K]$, then $\mathcal{P}_1$ is empty (Lemma 3.3), so $w(\mathfrak{d}) = 2m$ and $\mathcal{D}_{m,k}$ is empty unless $k = 2m$. If $p \mid [K(T) : K]$, then $\mathcal{P}_1$ has positive density (Lemma 3.3(ii)), so $m \le w(\mathfrak{d}) \le 2m$ and every integer in that range is possible. This proves (i).

Assertion (ii) follows easily from the Cebotarev theorem for $K(T)/K$, and the bijection in (iii) is the map $\mathfrak{d} \mapsto (\mathfrak{d}/\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q})$ where $\mathfrak{q}$ is the (unique) prime dividing $\mathfrak{d}$ with $\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q} > a_{m-1}(X)$. ☐

Proposition 11.6. *Fix $m, k \ge 0$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{m,k}$ is nonempty. Then*

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}_{m,k}(X)} \mathbf{P}_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\pm}}{|\mathcal{D}_{m,k}(X)|} = M^k(\mathbf{P}_1^{\pm}).$$

Proof. We will prove the proposition when $p \mid [K(T) : K]$, so $\mathcal{P}_1$ is nonempty, and then we have $m \le k \le 2m$ by Lemma 11.5(i). The case $p \nmid [K(T) : K]$ is similar.

The proof is by induction on m. If $m = 0$, then $k = 0$, $\mathcal{D}_{m,k} = \{1\}$, and there is nothing to prove.

Suppose $m \ge 1$. Note that if $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}_{m,k}(X)$, then $\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{d} \le \prod_{j \le m} a_j(X)$. By Theorem 11.3 and our induction hypothesis, for $i = 1$ (if $k < 2m$) and for $i = 2$ (if $k > m$) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{(11.1)} \quad \lim_{X \to \infty} \sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}_{m-1,k-i}(X)} \; \sum_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_i(a_m(X)), \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}} & \frac{\mathbf{P}_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{q}}^{\pm}}{|\mathcal{D}_{m-1,k-i}(X)||\{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_i(a_m(X)), \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{d}\}|} \\ &= \lim_{X \to \infty} \sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}_{m-1,k-i}(X)} \frac{M^i(\mathbf{P}_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\pm})}{|\mathcal{D}_{m-1,k-i}(X)|} = M^k(\mathbf{P}_1^{\pm}).\end{aligned}$$

The conditions $k < 2m$ or $k > m$ are to ensure that $\mathcal{D}_{m-1,k-i}$ is nonempty. We have a disjoint union

$$\mathcal{D}_{m,k}(X) = \mathcal{D}_{m,k}^{(0)}(X) \coprod \mathcal{D}_{m,k}^{(1)}(X) \coprod \mathcal{D}_{m,k}^{(2)}(X),$$

with $\mathcal{D}_{m,k}^{(i)}$ as in Definition 11.4. By Lemma 11.5(ii,iii), the left hand side of (11.1) is

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \Bigg(\sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}_{m,k}^{(i)}(X)} \frac{\mathbf{P}_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\pm}}{|\mathcal{D}_{m,k}^{(i)}(X)|} (1 + O(X^{-\epsilon})) \Bigg)$$

and we conclude from (11.1) that for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}_{m,k}^{(i)}(X)} \mathbf{P}_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\pm}}{|\mathcal{D}_{m,k}^{(i)}(X)|} = M^k(\mathbf{P}_1^{\pm}).$$

By Lemma 11.5(ii) we have $\lim_{X \to \infty} |\mathcal{D}_{m,k}^{(0)}(X)|/|\mathcal{D}_{m,k}^{(1)}(X)| = 0$, and the proposition follows. ☐

Lemma 11.7. *Suppose $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$. If m is the number of primes dividing $\mathfrak{d}$, then for every X we have $|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, X)| = (p-1)^m |\mathcal{C}(1, X)|$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_m$. For each j, by Proposition 5.8(ii) we can fix a character $\chi_j \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{q}_j)$ that is (necessarily ramified at $\mathfrak{q}_j$ and) unramified outside of

$\mathfrak{q}_j$ and Σ. Then every $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d})$ can be written uniquely as a product of powers of the χ_j times a character unramified outside of Σ, so the map

$$(\mathbf{F}_p^\times)^m \times \mathcal{C}(1, X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, X)$$

defined by $(n_1, \ldots, n_m, \psi) \mapsto \chi_1^{n_1} \cdots \chi_m^{n_m} \psi$ is a bijection. This proves the lemma. □

Definition 11.8. For $m, k \geq 0$, define

$$\mathcal{B}_{m,k}(X) := \coprod_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}_{m,k}(X)} \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, b_m(X)) \subset \mathcal{C}(K)$$

with $b_m(X)$ as in Definition 11.4.

Remark 11.9. Note that $\cup_{m,k,X} \mathcal{B}_{m,k}(X) = \mathcal{C}(K)$, and $\mathcal{B}_{m,k}(X)$ is nonempty if and only if $\mathcal{D}_{m,k}(X)$ is nonempty. The sets $\cup_{m,k \leq N} \mathcal{B}_{m,k}(X)$, as N and X grow, define what we call a "skew-box ordering" of the set of characters $\mathcal{C}(K)$, depending on the functions $a_n(X), b_n(X)$. The most natural ordering of this kind would be to take $a_n(X) = b_n(X) = X$ for every n; in that case we would have $\cup_{m,k \leq N} \mathcal{B}_{m,k}(X) = \mathcal{C}(K, X)$ for large enough N. Our method of proof leads instead to the boxes in Definition 11.8.

Remark 11.10. The sets $\mathcal{B}_{m,k}(X)$ depend on T and Σ, because they depend on the sets $\mathcal{P}_0$, $\mathcal{P}_1$, and $\mathcal{P}_2$. But they do not depend on the chosen twisting data. Thus if we take two elliptic curves E, E' with $E[p] \cong E'[p]$ as G_K-modules, and take the same Σ for both E and E', then the sets $\mathcal{B}_{m,k}(X)$ are the same for E and E'.

Theorem 11.11. *Suppose* (9.1), (9.2), *and* (9.3) *of §9 hold. If $m, k, n \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{D}_{m,k}$ is nonempty, then*

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{|\{\chi \in \mathcal{B}_{m,k}(X) : r(\chi) = n\}|}{|\mathcal{B}_{m,k}(X)|} = \begin{cases} M^k(\mathbf{P}_1^+)(n) & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ M^k(\mathbf{P}_1^-)(n) & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By definition of $\mathcal{B}_{m,k}(X)$,

$$\frac{|\{\chi \in \mathcal{B}_{m,k}(X) : r(\chi) = n\}|}{|\mathcal{B}_{m,k}(X)|} = \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}_{m,k}(X)} |\{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, b_m(X)) : r(\chi) = n\}|}{\sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}_{m,k}(X)} |\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, b_m(X))|}.$$

By Lemma 11.7, $|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, b_m(X))|$ is independent of $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}_{m,k}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\{\chi \in \mathcal{B}_{m,k}(X) : r(\chi) = n\}|}{|\mathcal{B}_{m,k}(X)|} &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}_{m,k}(X)|} \sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}_{m,k}(X)} \frac{|\{\chi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, b_m(X)) : r(\chi) = n\}|}{|\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{d}, b_m(X))|} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}_{m,k}(X)|} \sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}_{m,k}(X)} \mathbf{P}_{\mathfrak{d}}^{(-1)^k}(n) \end{aligned}$$

using Definition 11.4 of $b_m(X)$ and Proposition 11.2 for the final equality. By Proposition 11.6, as X grows this converges to $M^k(\mathbf{P}_1^{(-1)^k})(n)$. □

Recall the probability distributions $\mathbf{P}_{\text{even}}, \mathbf{P}_{\text{odd}}$ given explicitly by Definition 10.3.

Corollary 11.12. *Suppose* (9.1), (9.2), *and* (9.3) *hold. We have*

$$\lim_{m,k\to\infty}\lim_{X\to\infty}\frac{|\{\chi\in\mathcal{B}_{m,2k}(X):r(\chi)=n\}|}{|\mathcal{B}_{m,2k}(X)|} = (1-\rho(\mathbf{P}_1^+))\mathbf{P}_{\text{even}}(n)+\rho(\mathbf{P}_1^+)\mathbf{P}_{\text{odd}}(n),$$

$$\lim_{m,k\to\infty}\lim_{X\to\infty}\frac{|\{\chi\in\mathcal{B}_{m,2k+1}(X):r(\chi)=n\}|}{|\mathcal{B}_{m,2k+1}(X)|} = \rho(\mathbf{P}_1^-)\mathbf{P}_{\text{even}}(n)+(1-\rho(\mathbf{P}_1^-))\mathbf{P}_{\text{odd}}(n),$$

where the limits are over any sequence of pairs (m,k) *tending to infinity such that* $\mathcal{D}_{m,2k}$ *is nonempty (for the first equality) and* $\mathcal{D}_{m,2k+1}$ *is nonempty (for the second equality).*

Proof. The corollary follows directly from Theorem 11.11 and Proposition 10.5. ☐

Corollary 11.13. *Suppose* E *is an elliptic curve over* K*, and* $T=E[2]$ *with the natural twisting data. Suppose that* $\mathrm{Gal}(K(T)/K)\cong S_3$*, and that* Σ *contains a prime* $\mathfrak{q}\nmid 2$ *where* E *has good reduction and* $\Delta\notin(K_\mathfrak{q}^\times)^2$*. Let* $\mathcal{B}_m(X):=\cup_k\mathcal{B}_{m,k}(X)$ *with* $\mathcal{B}_{m,k}(X)$ *as in Definition 11.8. Then for every* $n\ge 0$ *we have*

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\lim_{X\to\infty}\frac{|\{\chi\in\mathcal{B}_m(X):r(\chi)=n\}|}{|\mathcal{B}_m(X)|}=(1-\rho(\mathbf{P}_1^+))\mathbf{P}_{\text{even}}(n)+\rho(\mathbf{P}_1^+)\mathbf{P}_{\text{odd}}(n).$$

Proof. We will show that $\rho(\mathbf{P}_1^+)+\rho(\mathbf{P}_1^-)=1$, and then the corollary will follow from Corollary 11.12.

Since $|\Gamma_1^+|=|\Gamma_1^-|=|\Gamma_1|/2$, we have

$$\rho(\mathbf{P}_1^+)+\rho(\mathbf{P}_1^-)=\frac{|\{\gamma\in\Gamma_1^+:r(\gamma)\text{ is odd}\}|}{|\Gamma_1^+|}+\frac{|\{\gamma\in\Gamma_1^-:r(\gamma)\text{ is odd}\}|}{|\Gamma_1^-|} = 2\,\frac{|\{\gamma\in\Gamma_1:r(\gamma)\text{ is odd}\}|}{|\Gamma_1|}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be as in the statement of the corollary, and fix $\varphi\in\Gamma_1$ such that $\varphi_\mathfrak{q}(\Delta)=-1$, and $\varphi_v=\mathbf{1}_v$ if $v\ne\mathfrak{q}$. Then multiplication by φ permutes the elements of Γ_1, and if $\gamma\in\Gamma_1$ then by Theorem 3.10 and Corollary 3.12 we have

$$r(\gamma\varphi)-r(\gamma)\equiv h_\mathfrak{q}(\gamma_\mathfrak{q},\gamma_\mathfrak{q}\varphi_\mathfrak{q})\equiv h_\mathfrak{q}(\mathbf{1}_\mathfrak{q},\gamma_\mathfrak{q})+h_\mathfrak{q}(\mathbf{1}_\mathfrak{q},\gamma_\mathfrak{q}\varphi_\mathfrak{q}) \pmod 2. \tag{11.2}$$

By [9, Proposition 3] we have

$$(-1)^{h_\mathfrak{q}(\mathbf{1}_\mathfrak{q},\gamma_\mathfrak{q})}=\gamma_\mathfrak{q}(\Delta),\quad (-1)^{h_\mathfrak{q}(\mathbf{1}_\mathfrak{q},\gamma_\mathfrak{q}\varphi_\mathfrak{q})}=\gamma_\mathfrak{q}\varphi_\mathfrak{q}(\Delta)=-\gamma_\mathfrak{q}(\Delta),$$

so the right-hand side of (11.2) is odd. Therefore $r(\gamma)$ is odd for exactly half of the $\gamma\in\Gamma_1$, and we conclude that $\rho(\mathbf{P}_1^+)+\rho(\mathbf{P}_1^-)=1$. ☐

Remark 11.14. The assumption in Corollary 11.13 that Σ contains a prime $\mathfrak{q}\nmid 2$ where E has good reduction and $\Delta\notin(K_\mathfrak{q}^\times)^2$ can always be satisfied by adding to Σ any prime in $\mathcal{P}_1$.

References

[1] J.W.S. Cassels, Arithmetic of curves of genus 1. VIII. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **217** (1965) 180-199.

[2] J.E. Cremona, Algorithms for modular elliptic curves. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992).

[3] J.E. Cremona, T.A. Fisher, C. O'Neil, D. Simon, M. Stoll, Explicit n-descent on elliptic curves. I. Algebra. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **615** (2008) 121-155.

[4] T. Dokchitser, V. Dokchitser, Elliptic curves with all quadratic twists of positive rank. *Acta Arith.* **137** (2009) 193–197.

[5] T. Dokchitser, V. Dokchitser, Regulator constants and the parity conjecture. *Invent. Math.* **178** (2009) 23-71.

[6] D. Goldfeld, Conjectures on elliptic curves over quadratic fields. In: Number theory, Carbondale 1979 (Proc. Southern Illinois Conf., Southern Illinois Univ., Carbondale, Ill., 1979, M. B. Nathanson, ed.), *Lecture Notes in Math.* **751**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1979), 108–118.

[7] D.R. Heath-Brown, The size of Selmer groups for the congruent number problem II. *Invent. Math.* **118** (1994) 331–370.

[8] D. Kane, On the ranks of the 2-Selmer groups of twists of a given elliptic curve, to appear. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1009.1365`

[9] K. Kramer, Arithmetic of elliptic curves upon quadratic extension, *Transactions Amer. Math. Soc.* **264** (1981) 121–135.

[10] B. Mazur, K. Rubin, Finding large Selmer rank via an arithmetic theory of local constants, *Annals of Mathematics* **166** (2007) 579–612.

[11] B. Mazur, K. Rubin, Ranks of twists of elliptic curves and Hilbert's tenth problem, *Inventiones Math.* **181** (2010) 541–575.

[12] B. Mazur, K. Rubin, A. Silverberg, Twisting commutative algebraic groups. *J. Algebra* **314** (2007) 419-438.

[13] J.S. Milne, Arithmetic duality theorems, *Perspectives in Math.* **1**, Academic Press, Orlando (1986).

[14] P. Monsky, Generalizing the Birch-Stephens theorem. I. Modular curves. *Math. Z.* **221** (1996) 415–420.

[15] Norris, J.R., *Markov Chains*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997).

[16] B. Poonen, E. Rains, *Random maximal isotropic subspaces and Selmer groups. J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** (2012) 245–269.

[17] D. Rohrlich, Galois theory, elliptic curves, and root numbers, *Compositio Math.* **100** (1996) 311–349.

[18] K. Rubin, A. Silverberg, Families of elliptic curves with constant mod p representations. In: Elliptic curves, modular forms, & Fermat's last theorem (Hong Kong, 1993), *Ser. Number Theory* **I**, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA (1995) 148-161.

[19] J-P. Serre, Cohomologie galoisienne, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **5**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1965).

[20] J-P. Serre, Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques, *Invent. Math.* **15** (1972), 259–331.

[21] J-P. Serre, Quelques applications du théorème de Chebotarev, *Pub. Math. IHES* **54** (1981), 123–201.

[22] H. Stark, Some effective cases of the Brauer-Siegel theorem, *Invent. Math.* **23** (1974), 135–152.

[23] H.P.F. Swinnerton-Dyer, The effect of twisting on the 2-Selmer group. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **145** (2008) 513–526.

[24] J. Tate, Duality theorems in Galois cohomology over number fields, in: *Proc. Intern. Cong. Math.*, Stockholm (1962) 234–241.

[25] J. Tate, Algorithm for determining the type of a singular fiber in an elliptic pencil. In: Modular functions of one variable (IV), *Lecture Notes in Math.* **476**, New York: Springer-Verlag (1975) 33–52.

Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA
E-mail address: `klagsbru@math.wisc.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
E-mail address: `mazur@math.harvard.edu`

Department of Mathematics, UC Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697, USA
E-mail address: `krubin@math.uci.edu`